

**Government Open Source Policies**  
**August 2007**  
**Center for Strategic and International Studies**

**Introductory Note**  
**James A. Lewis**

This is the fourth update to the CSIS Open Source Policy survey. The survey tracks governmental policies on the use of open source software as reported in the press or other media. As with the previous efforts, we included only explicit statements of policy and did not count decisions by governments to use or purchase open source software, as this may only reflect a decision based on price or product.

The data in this and the earlier tables provide a snapshot of the state of open source policy at a given moment. We divided open source policies into four categories: research, mandates (where the use of open source software is required), preferences (where the use of open source software is given preference, but not mandated), and advisory (where the use of open source software is permitted). We also looked at whether an initiative was made at the national, regional, or local level, and whether it was accepted, under consideration, or rejected.

In 2007, we found two hundred sixty-eight open source policy initiatives. Of those approved, only six (3.4%) mandated the use of open source software. Another fifty-six policies (31.6%) required government entities to show a preference for open source software in acquisition decisions. Initiatives establishing a preference for the use of open source software were more likely to be approved at the regional or local level, while national level authorities were more likely to approve advisory initiatives for open source software. The majority of approved initiatives in Europe and Asia involve research programs. In Latin America, the majority of approved initiatives are policies calling for a preference for open source in government acquisitions.

Changes in the software industry have affected open source policy. Many software companies are creating new business models that blend proprietary and open software development. One result of this shift is that software business models at many companies focus on how to capture the benefits of collaborative processes in coding (including collaborative processes involving both employees and non-employees). The business model at many companies has diversified beyond writing code to include the provision of services, acquisition of smaller innovative firms, and a focus on collaborative, standards-based development.

Standards and standard-setting are particularly important for business models that mix open and proprietary software. Standards provide the basis for the collaboration. While there is general consensus that “open” standards are best – as they expand the scope for collaboration and innovation – there is less consensus on what qualifies as open. Software designed to an open standard can be either proprietary or open source. The result is a complex mix of issues involving intellectual property and competition. The open standards discussion exhibits some of the same tensions (but not the same degree of heat) as the open source debate. We have not counted open standards initiatives unless, in our view, they embodied a de facto requirement to use open source software.

By mid-2007, a majority (72%) of the open source initiatives we found were described as completed. Final action had been taken on 193 of the 268 open source policy initiatives. One hundred seventy-seven were approved and sixteen rejected (decisions remain pending for the rest). Since our last survey, we found only twenty-three new open source policy initiatives around the world. Our estimate is that the open source debate is being subsumed into a search for business models that can profitably blend open and proprietary processes and products.

As in the past, comments, corrections, or new data are welcome.

### Summary Tables

Open Source Initiatives, 2007				
	Proposed	Approved	Failed	Total
R&D	7	59	1	67
Advisory	16	56	3	75
Preference	35	56	4	95
Mandatory	17	6	8	31
<b>Total</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>268</b>

National and State & Local Totals for all Initiatives		
	National	State and Local
R&D	50	17
Advisory	62	13
Preference	47	48
Mandatory	20	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Total Initiatives (268)</b>		

Regional Distribution*				
	Approved	Proposed	Failed	Total
Europe	91	33	7	131
Asia	47	22	1	70
Latin America	20	12	4	36
North America	9	6	4	19
Africa	4	1	0	5
Middle East	5	1	0	6

Regional Distribution of Approved Initiatives*				
	R&D	Advisory	Preference	Mandatory
Europe	34	30	24	3
Asia	14	13	19	1
Latin America	5	3	10	2
North America	2	6	1	0
Africa	2	1	1	0
Middle East	4	0	1	0

\* Regional distribution does not include initiatives from the UN or the OECD. Multinational initiatives were counted for each region represented.

Comparison of Approved Initiatives 2004, 2005, and 2007			
	2004	2005	2007
% Advisory	37.2	34.0	31.6
% Preference	31.0	31.0	31.6
% Research	30.2	33.8	33.4
% Mandatory	1.6	1.3	3.4

## National Open Source Policies

Data Compiled by Jason Keiber, Joshua Krieger, Ashley Rasmussen, and Jitka Sladka

Policies that have been **ADDED** since the last version of this chart are listed in **BLUE**.  
Policies that have been **UPDATED** since the last version of this chart are listed in **RED**.

GOVERNMENT	BRANCH OR AGENCY	ACTION	DATE	STATUS	DETAILS AND SOURCES
Argentina	National Information Technology Office & National Information Office	Advisory	Mar. 2004	Approved	The two institutions, which coordinate IT policy and implementation, announced that they promote Linux in all applications in public administration. The rationale for this decision is lower costs, creating local employment, and security. <sup>1</sup>
Argentina	Legislative	Mandatory	June 2004	Proposed	2001 attempt to mandate FOSS in all gov't offices and state owned enterprise, failed. Bill 904-D-02 proposed in 2002 expired before passage. <sup>2</sup> A similar bill, Bill 1280-D-04, was reintroduced and was still pending as of June 2004. <sup>3</sup>
Australia	Tax Office	Advisory	Feb. 2004	Approved	The Australian Tax Office will consider OSS alongside proprietary solutions. <sup>4</sup>
Australia	National Office for the Information Economy	Advisory	Aug. 2004	Proposed	The Government will continue to encourage the use of open source software solutions by developing a range of tools to help Government agencies make informed decisions to suit their IT needs. Open source software was identified in the Government's 2002 " <i>Better services, Better Government</i> " e-government strategy as providing opportunities for innovation, sharing of information technology, and potentially new market opportunities for small Australian businesses. <sup>5</sup>
Australia	Legislative	Preference	Sept. 2003	Proposed	Proposed amendment requiring Public Service Agencies prefer OSS "wherever practicable." <sup>6</sup>
Australia	Information Management Office	R&D	Apr. 2005	Approved	A document outlines OSS options for government agencies. It does not promote OSS; procurement decisions should be made on the standard criteria of fitness for purpose and value for money. <sup>7</sup>
Austria	Ministry of Education	Advisory	Apr. 2003	Proposed	"The Austrian Ministry of Education recommended the adoption of two open source learning platforms and learning management systems in education." <sup>8</sup>
Belgium	Council of Ministers	Mandatory	June 2004	Approved	New directives and recommendations approved for the use of open standards and OSS by the Federal Ministries. New ICT systems must be based on open standards; new software will have to be delivered with source code and without licensing restrictions, etc. <sup>9</sup>
Belgium	Legislative	Mandatory	Oct. 2003	Proposed	One bill in the House of Representatives and another two in the Senate mandate the use of OSS in public administrations. A previous bill had been introduced but was never voted on. <sup>10</sup>

Belgium	General Assembly	Mandatory	Apr. 2003	Proposed	“The Assembly of the French-speaking Community of Brussels (COCOF) postponed a vote on an open source software decree approved by the budget committee of the COCOF.” <sup>11</sup>
Belgium	Council of Ministers	Preference	June 2004	Approved	Federally commissioned software must be delivered with the source code; federal authorities should try to avoid proprietary software, but should make final decisions based on total cost of ownership. <sup>12</sup>
Belgium	Parliamentary Committee	R&D	Mar. 2003	Approved	“A Parliamentary committee on the use of ICT in the Federal Parliament released a report highlighting the importance of the use of open standards.” <sup>13</sup>
Belgium	Legislative	Advisory	June 2004	Proposed	On June 10, 2004, the Belgian Government published a white paper on the use of open standards by federal public bodies. <sup>14</sup>
Brazil	Executive / National Institute of IT	Advisory	Nov. 2003	Approved	The gov’t initiative urges ministries and other agencies to use OSS, as well as evaluate how IT could benefit from open-software. <sup>15</sup>
Brazil	Executive	Mandatory	Jan. / June 2005	Proposed	The government of Brazil says it will switch 300,000 government computers from Microsoft's Windows operating system to open source software like Linux. <sup>16</sup> “President Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva is studying a draft decree which, if approved, would make [OSS] compulsory for federal departments.” <sup>17</sup>
Brazil	Legislative	Mandatory	Oct. 2003	Proposed	The bill PL-2152/2003 mandates that all software used within the federal administration and public entities be OSS. The bill is to be reviewed with four other past proposals, three of which had been previously voided. <sup>18</sup>
Brazil	Executive	Preference	May 2005	Approved	Brazil launched PC Conectado, an attempt to sell 1 million low-cost computers. Proprietary software was excluded from the project; “We chose free software...because we believe it is a policy to spur national industry.” <sup>19</sup>
Brazil	Ministries / Executive	Preference	Aug. 2004	Approved	Twenty percent of all computers used by the Brazilian ministries are running Linux and other open source software. In a few months this number should grow to 100 percent. Through its Digital Inclusion Program, Brazil wishes to democratize the use of computers. <sup>20</sup>
Brazil	Federal Gov’t	R&D	Aug. 2004	Approved	The government signed a cooperative agreement with an OSS company to create a Technology and Knowledge Dissemination Center (CDTC) to promote open standards-based solutions through training and support. <sup>21</sup>
Brazil	Ministry of Science and Technology	R&D	Oct. 2003	Proposed	The Ministry has started the first Free Software Workshop, which recommends the use of OSS in the Federal Government. <sup>22</sup>
Brazil and South Korea	Interagency	R&D	Nov. 2004	Approved	Brazil’s National Institute of Technology of the Information (ITI) and the Korean IT Industry Promotion Agency (KIPA) signed an agreement to exchange OSS experiences. <sup>23</sup>

Bulgaria	Legislative	Mandatory	Mar. 2003	Proposed	“A draft bill mandating the use of open source software, open standards, and open file formats by all state institutions and agencies, state-owned enterprises, higher schools, medical establishments, non-profit entities, state-funded entities, the Bulgarian consular, and diplomatic missions abroad. The bill allows for the use of non open source software solutions when existing OSS solutions do not meet the public administration's specific needs.” <sup>24</sup>
Bulgaria	UNDP	R&D	June 2004	Approved	The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the Internet Society of Bulgaria (ISOC-Bulgaria) have launched a project to help municipal governments in Southeastern Europe with FOSS. <sup>25</sup>
Cambodia	Executive	Preference	Feb. 2005	Approved	Cambodia has issued an Open Source Master Plan and it is expected to come out with an Open Source Action Plan very soon. The Open Source Master Plan lays out a four-year OSS adoption plan for government systems and for development and promotion of OSS and Khmer language functionality. <sup>26</sup>
Canada	CIO Branch	Advisory	Sept. 2003	Approved	Canada does not distinguish on the basis of software development models. <sup>27</sup>
Chile	Legislative	Mandatory	Jan. 2004	Proposed	Bill being prepared that would mandate the use of OSS in all branches and levels of government, as well as State majority-owned companies. Goal of submission is March 2004. <sup>28</sup>
China	Beijing Science and Technology Commission	Advisory	Aug. 2002	Approved	“Beijing Science and Technology Commission has endorsed Linux as China's most important chance to improve its software industry... the commission would urge Chinese government bodies to consider using Linux with new computer systems, and also encourage private and university software designers to develop Linux and other open source software programs.” <sup>29</sup>
China	Ministry of Information Industry	Advisory	Sept. 2002	Approved	“China's Ministry of Information Industry has established an Open Source Alliance to support Linux systems.” <sup>30</sup>
China	Ministry of Information Industry	Advisory	Mar. 2001	Proposed	“Ministry of Information Industry (MII) and the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) would work together to encourage the development of the nation's software industry... According to Ministry officials, the government would fund further development of Red Flag Linux...” <sup>31</sup>
China	Ministry of Information Industry	R&D	Aug. 2004	Approved	MII established the Open Source Software Promotion Alliance to encourage the development of China's OSS industry. It is composed of enterprises, non-profit organizations, representatives from NGOs, and individuals under the guidance of the Chinese government. <sup>32</sup>
China, S. Korea, and Japan	Multinational	R&D	Sept. 2003	Approved	This is an ongoing collaboration to develop and promote OSS to replace proprietary operating systems. Japan has earmarked \$8.6 million for the project. At a meeting on April 3, 2004, officials agreed to seek ways of reducing costs of software with Linux. The most recent meeting was in late July 2004 and aimed to promote development and use of OSS. <sup>33</sup>

Colombia	Legislative	Mandatory	Aug. 2002	Proposed	Bill proposed mandating that all State institutions and State majority-owned enterprises exclusively use OSS in their information systems. <sup>34</sup>
Costa Rica	Executive	Preference	Feb. 2002	Approved	An executive order stating that public institutions can use OSS when it is possible and useful. <sup>35</sup>
Costa Rica	Legislative	Preference	Sept. 2003	Proposed	Member of political party (PLN) introduced a law on OSS emphasizing its technological independence, local development, costs of ownership but overall, security - one of governments' main concerns. <sup>36</sup>
Cuba	Executive	Preference	Feb. 2007	Proposed	The Cuban government is to migrate thousands of its computers to the operating system Linux. Communications Minister Ramiro Valdes advocated the use of open source, but there is no deadline for the migration. <sup>37</sup>
Czech Republic	Ministry of Informatics	Advisory	Nov. 2005	Approved	Ministry is supporting the Czech Open Source Software Alliance to provide consultation on OSS projects and assist with representation in the EU's IDABC with regard to OSS. <sup>38</sup>
Denmark	Executive	Advisory	June 2003	Approved	The government adopted a "Software Strategy" emphasizing value for money, competition, freedom of choice, and interoperability. The policy expresses no preference for open source, but several open source projects have been initiated under the policy." <sup>39</sup>
Denmark	Ministry of Science and Technology, and Innovation	Advisory	Oct. 2002	Approved	Analysis and recommendations drawn up by a working group under the Danish Board of Technology recommended that OSS compete on same level as proprietary software, and for OSS pilot projects. <sup>40</sup>
Denmark	Legislative	Advisory	Nov. 2002	Failed	"Socialist members of the Danish Parliament introduced a motion for a resolution calling for an offensive competitive strategy utilizing open source software and open standards. The motion did not gain the necessary support within Parliament and reportedly will not be re-introduced for a second hearing." <sup>41</sup>
Denmark	Board of Technology	R&D	Oct. 2002	Approved	"The Danish Board of Technology released a report stating that the public administration would save 500 million Euros over four years by using open source software. The report also concluded that... open source solutions cannot be dictated as a general principle." <sup>42</sup>
Denmark	Legislative	Mandatory	July 2007	Approved	During a one-year pilot program, government agencies are required to carry Open Document Format (ODF) and Microsoft's Open XML format on all computers. The Danish Parliament and a third party will evaluate the test program in 2009. <sup>43</sup>
EU	DG XIII, Info Society	Advisory	2003-2004	Approved	2003-2004 Workplan encourages the use of OS where appropriate for the specific program of "Integrating and strengthening the European Research Area." <sup>44</sup>
EU	Directorate Info Society	Advisory	June 2002	Approved	eEurope 2005 Action Plan recommends open source for a EU "interoperability framework." <sup>45</sup>

EU	EU Commission	Advisory	Sept. 2003	Approved	A Communication from the Commission on the role of eGovernment states that the “exchange of experience in the use of open standards and open source amongst public administrations should be promoted in terms of efficiency, productivity, and quality of their services.” <sup>46</sup>
EU	EU Telecomm Ministry	Advisory	Dec. 2001	Approved	“A resolution on network and information security approved by the Council of EU Telecommunications Ministers encouraged the EU Member States to initiate effective and interoperable security solutions based on recognised standards including open source software in their e-government and e-procurement activities.” <sup>47</sup>
EU	Ministerial Resolution on E-Government	Advisory	Nov. 2001	Approved	“The Ministers of Public Service and Administration of the EU Member States, the EFTA Member States, and the accession countries included open source language in a declaration underlining the importance of e-government to the development of Europe's Information Society.” <sup>48</sup>
EU	Information Society Technologies Advisory Group	Advisory	Sept. 2002	Approved	“ISTAG published a report on ‘Software Technologies, Embedded Systems, and Distributed Systems’ calling for the use of open source licensing for software generated in the Information Society Technologies (IST) program. Under the IST program, the EU Commission launched the ‘Three Roses Initiative’ to provide funding for the use of open source software in e-government services and e-business solutions in EU Member States.” <sup>49</sup>
EU	Information Society Technologies Advisory Group	Advisory	2004	Approved	“Open, secure, interoperable Egovernment platforms, applications and multi-modal services... should...deploy as much as possible open source software solutions for all aspects of inter- and intragovernment operations including electronic democracy systems, interaction with citizens and businesses, governmental process re-engineering and knowledge management.” <sup>50</sup>
EU	EU Parliament	Advisory	Sept. 2001	Approved	“Adopted a resolution proposed by the committee on the Echelon Interception System. The resolution urged the Commission and Member States to promote European encryption software and support projects aimed at developing open source encryption software.” <sup>51</sup>
EU	Interoperable Delivery of European eGovernment Services Programme	Advisory	Jan. 2004	Approved	The Open Source Observatory aims to provide “support for initiatives that encourage uptake of OSS and spread good practice in its use.” <sup>52</sup>
EU	Green Party	Preference	Jan. 2004	Proposed	Formulated a Draft Normal Resolution for governments to “promote the introduction and use of free and open source software within their governmental bodies and public administrations” and will submit a proposal for a European Directive on Open standards and Interoperability. <sup>53</sup>
EU	Enterprise D-G Directorate	R&D	Aug. 2003	Approved	Call for Tender “to establish a service in support of public administrations using open source software with the intention of encouraging the spread of good practice.” <sup>54</sup>

EU	Research Institutions	R&D	Dec. 2004 / May 2005	Approved	EU provided 2.2 million euros to study OSS in December 2004 <sup>55</sup> and an additional 660,000 euros in May 2005. <sup>56</sup>
EU	EU Commission	R&D	Sept. 2002	Approved	Under the Information Society Technologies program, the EU Commission launched the “Three Roses Initiative” to provide funding for the use of open source software in e-government services and e-business solutions in EU Member States. <sup>57</sup>
EU	EU Commission IDA	R&D	Nov. 2003	Approved	The IDA Open Source Migration Guidelines – “provide practical and detailed recommendations on how to migrate to Open Source Software (OSS)-based office applications, calendaring, e-mail and other standard applications.” <sup>58</sup>
EU	Information Society DG	R&D	May 2003	Approved	There are roughly 20 projects supporting and developing OSS. They contribute to the development of essential components of a free software infrastructure, and associated development tools or applications. See source for a list of projects from the 5 <sup>th</sup> Framework Programme. <sup>59</sup>
Finland	Ministry of Finance	Advisory	Oct. 2003	Approved	A ministry working paper calls for government agencies to consider OSS alternatives. <sup>60</sup>
Finland	Legislative	Advisory	June 2002	Failed	A group of Finnish MPs signed a non-binding Bill “requiring national and local agencies to migrate their IT systems to the Linux operating system.” The bill has expired and was not renewed in 2003. <sup>61</sup>
Finland	Joint Venture	R&D	Sept. 2003	Approved	The Applied Linux Institute run by the Dept. of Communications and the Institution of Adult Education of Vantaa at the University of Helsinki, and the Dept. of Schooling and Education of the City of Vantaa, (all public institutions), is conducting research and development on OS applications. <sup>62</sup>
France	Ministerial	Preference	2003	Approved	Ministries of Defense, Culture, and Economy use FOSS operating systems. <sup>63</sup>
France	Ministry of Culture and Communication	Preference	2003	Proposed	Ministry called for full migration to FOSS by 2005. Also two parliamentary bills submitted (1999 and 2000) supporting FOSS, died. <sup>64</sup>
France	Ministry of Defense	R&D	Sept. 2004	Approved	Ministry has formed a consortium to develop a highly secure Linux-based operating system. <sup>65</sup>
France	Executive	R&D	Aug. 2003	Approved	The government has launched an open-source content management system to standardize government websites. <sup>66</sup>
France	Commissariat General du Plan	R&D	Oct. 2002	Approved	“A working group composed of experts from companies and administrative agencies issued a report analyzing the French software industry and examining how the government can best support the industry. The report recommended that public agencies promote the development of free software platforms and open standards.” <sup>67</sup>



France	Ministerial	R&D	Nov. 2001	Approved	Agency for the Development of the Electronic Administration (ADEA), formerly the Agency for Technologies of Information and Communication in Administration (ATICA), is “in charge of selecting open standards to be enforced all over public administrations in order to guarantee full interoperability.” <sup>68</sup>
France	Agency for the Development of the Electronic Administration	R&D	Feb. 2004	Proposed	Agency for the Development of the Electronic Administration (ADEA) announced plans to migrate 5-15% of its desktop software to OSS by 2007 as part of a 3-month “feasibility study” of switching to Linux on 17,000 machines in Paris’ public administration. <sup>69</sup>
France	Legislative	Advisory	June 2004	Proposed	The French Government wants to cut its software bill at least in half, said Civil Service Minister Renaud Dutreil. In order to reach this ambitious objective, the Government is planning to run open source software (OSS) in part of its 900,000 desktop computers over the next three years. <sup>70</sup>
France, China	Interagency	R&D	Oct. 2004	Approved	French Atomic Energy Commission and Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology will collaborate to develop Linux-based software. <sup>71</sup>
Germany	Bundestag	Advisory	Nov. 2001	Approved	Resolution on “Germany’s Economy in the Information Society” which promoted FOSS in the federal administration where it would save on costs. <sup>72</sup>
Germany	Federal Court of Auditors	Advisory	Oct. 2001	Approved	“The Federal Court of Auditors in a report to the Ministry of Interior adopted the position that open source software offers functionalities comparable to commercial software and recommended the use of open source software in the federal administration. The Court of Auditors estimated the use of open source software would yield savings of 100 million Euros. The Bavarian Court of Auditors also announced its support for a transition to open source.” <sup>73</sup>
Germany	Bundestag	Advisory	June 2002	Approved	“Adopted a resolution on ‘Creating an Information Society for All.’ The resolution called for the increased use of open source software in the federal administration and stated that ‘open source is an important instrument that can provide for secure and stable IT solutions.’ The resolution was proposed by the Social Democratic Party, the main party in the governing coalition.” <sup>74</sup>
Germany	The Ministry of Economy	Advisory	May 2003	Approved	“The Ministry of Economy (Federal) announced a decision to stop the systematic promotion of open source software projects. The Ministry adopted a policy to strengthen the competition between free and proprietary software in general. The Ministry will support the new policy objective through neutral public procurement tenders. The Ministry announced that an independent, non-governmental body of experts will formulate the criteria for public procurement tenders.” <sup>75</sup>
Germany	Social Democrats / Green Party Coalition	Advisory	Sept. 2002	Proposed	“After the September elections, the Social Democrats and the Green Party formed a new federal coalition government and agreed on a work program. The new program stated that ‘the law must protect the position of small companies in the software field’ and that ‘open source products shall not be discriminated’.” <sup>76</sup>
Germany	Ministry of the Interior	Preference	June 2002	Approved	Government contracts with Open Source providers for government agencies wishing to make OSS procurements. <sup>77</sup>

Germany	Ministry of the Interior - KBSt	Preference	July 2003	Approved	Published a software Migration Guide which included replacement/migration/integration guidelines for Open Source Software. <sup>78</sup>
Germany	Ministerial	R&D	July 2003	Proposed	Federal Ministry of the Interior published migration to OSS manual. <sup>79</sup>
Ghana	Legislative	Advisory	Apr. 2007	Proposed	“The government of Ghana is serious about free and open source software. Ghanaian communications minister Mike Oquaye said that the government had already ‘tasked the Ghana-India Kofi Annan Centre of Excellence in ICT to spearhead the national consultation process on open source policy and to provide an initial draft document for our consideration’.” <sup>80</sup>
Hong Kong	Commerce, Industry, and Technology Bureau	Advisory	Mar. 2003	Approved	The Commerce, Industry, and Technology Bureau published a paper entitled “2004 Digital 21 Strategy,” which stated the government will promote OSS within the government when viable, and will encourage its use in the private sector with funding. <sup>81</sup>
Hong Kong	Secretary for Commerce, Industry, and Technology	Advisory	Nov. 2002	Approved	The Information Technology Services Department (ITSD) issued a circular to all departments, “urging them to consider different types of software including open source in procurement and, based on the principle of cost effectiveness, select among the products which meet the basic functional requirements and offer the best value for money.” <sup>82</sup>
Iceland	Ministry of Justice	Preference	2003	Approved	Open source office suite used in all police stations (700 PCs). <sup>83</sup>
India	N/A	Advisory	May 2005	Approved	Gov’t is distributing millions of free CDs with Tamil and Hindi language OSS. <sup>84</sup>
India	Executive	Advisory	May 2003 / July 2004	Proposed	President has made public comments supporting OSS. <sup>85</sup>
India	Department of Information Technology	Preference	2003	Proposed	The Department is supporting the development of a Hindi Linux distribution, Indix. <sup>86</sup>
India	Department of Information Technology	R&D	Sept. 2004	Approved	The National Informatics Center has created a web site to share the government’s experience in using OSS. <sup>87</sup>
Indonesia	Education Ministry	Preference	Mar. 2003	Approved	U.S. company donated \$57 million worth of its open source office suite to the Education Ministry. <sup>88</sup>
Indonesia	Ministry of Research and Technology	Preference	Oct. 2006	Proposed	The Indonesian Government's mission of going open source is nearly accomplished. The Government has already launched IGOS Nusantara 2006 Release 3 for the country's users. IGOS (Indonesia, go open source!) is a national effort to strengthen the national information technology system as well as to exploit the global information technology development through utilization and exploitation of Open Source Software (OSS). <sup>89</sup>

Iran	High Informatics Council	R&D	Sept. 2004	Approved	Government is developing OSS alternatives in preparation for a migration for national security reasons and to increase its chances of entry into the WTO through better enforcement of IP laws. <sup>90</sup>
Ireland	N/A	R&D	Dec. 2003	Approved	Funded development of a “Knowledge Asset Development System” for Ireland, Europe, and the Middle East. <sup>91</sup>
Israel	Ministry of Finance	Advisory	Jan. 2004	Proposed	“The ministry plans to distribute thousands of Open Office programs on CD-ROM at public computer centers and eventually community centers across the country,” and is “about to propose that government ministries use the free Linux open operating system as well.” <sup>92</sup> “Government agencies will continue to use proprietary products they already have but won't upgrade them, and the government is promoting the development and use of open-source alternatives.” <sup>93</sup>
Israel	Department of Commerce	Preference	Dec. 2003	Approved	With its Microsoft contract running out, the Department announced plans to switch most desktops to Open Source Software. Other agencies are showing an interest as well. <sup>94</sup>
Israel	Ministry of Industry, Trade and Labor	R&D	Apr. 2005	Approved	Ministry paired with IBM to encourage the use and development of OSS. As part of the plan, the Ministry offers grants of up to \$100,000 for Israeli start-ups. <sup>95</sup>
Italy	National Agency for ICT in Public Administration	Advisory	Oct. 2004	Approved	The Plan for Information Society for 2005-2007 calls for extensive use of open source applications where possible. <sup>96</sup>
Italy	Ministry for Innovation	Advisory	June 2002	Approved	“The Minister for Innovation presented a set of Government Guidelines for 2002-2005 to promote technological development. The document called for the adoption of open source software by public administrations...The guidelines also recommended that the government launch a national research program on open source...” <sup>97</sup>
Italy	Council of Ministers	Advisory	2001	Approved	“The Council of Ministers endorsed a recommendation by the Senate that urged the administration ‘to draft regulations for the examination of open source projects and for the progressive adoption of non-proprietary operating systems and applications by public administrations. Neither the recommendation nor the endorsement was binding on the Berlusconi government that came to power in June 2001.’” <sup>98</sup>
Italy	Legislative	Mandatory	2003	Proposed	Bill mandates preference for FOSS in government agencies. Other bills to encourage OSS are pending as well. <sup>99</sup>
Italy	Ministry for Innovation	Preference	Feb. 2004	Approved	A directive from the ministry stating that in the acquisition of software, the Public Administration must consider OSS and judge software according to transferability, interoperability, dependency on supplier, and the availability of the source code for inspection. <sup>100</sup>
Italy	Senate	Preference	July 2002	Proposed	A Senate Committee for Education and Culture held hearings about an OSS amendment to reform the national education system to increase the use of OSS. <sup>101</sup>

Italy	N/A	R&D	Jan. 2006	Approved	“The Italian Code of Digital Administration, which became effective on January 1, 2006, requires that any software developed by one PA must be made available at no cost, with complete source code and documentation, to any other PA that can adapt it to its own needs.” <sup>102</sup>
Japan	Somusho METI LDP	Preference	Nov. 2002	Proposed	“An e-Japan committee organized by the ruling Liberal Democratic Party (LDP) promoted the accelerated development and deployment of open source software operating systems within the national government... Somusho (the government agency responsible for public management and telecommunications) would initiate a study on open source deployment. The Japanese Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry (METI) also planned to deploy an open source platform in one of its institutes.” <sup>103</sup>
Japan	METI	Preference	Nov. 2004	Proposed	Approved OS desktop software as eligible for future bids. The Ministry is evaluating its options but has not committed to actual deployment. <sup>104</sup>
Japan	Ministry of Economy Trade and Industry (METI)	R&D	Feb. 2003	Approved	METI planned on spending 1 billion yen in FY04 on OSS Development and Deployment. Procurement policy is “open to any new technology and company.” METI is also promoting OSS collaboration with other Asian countries. <sup>105</sup>
Japan	The Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications	Preference	Oct. 2005	Proposed	The Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications announced its plans to shift key government systems to Linux in order to decrease its dependency on Microsoft products. <sup>106</sup>
Malaysia	Ministerial	Preference	Aug. 2004	Approved	All Government procurements now have a strong preference for OSS under the Malaysian Public Sector Open Source Software Masterplan. <sup>107</sup>
Malaysia	Executive/ Ministerial	Preference	Oct. 2003	Proposed	Government created a \$36 million fund for start-ups developing OSS. Also, government reportedly developing a national OS based on Linux. <sup>108</sup>
Malaysia	Executive/ Ministerial	Preference	Aug. 2002 / Aug. 2003	Proposed	“The Government decided to begin OSS implementation in agencies like the Treasury, while other ministries have begun implementing OSS on their servers.” The government is also conducting pilot studies on how to switch over to OSS. <sup>109</sup>
Malaysia	Ministerial	R&D	Sept. 2003	Approved	The government set up an OSS Competency Center. <sup>110</sup>
Malaysia	Legislative	Advisory	July 2007	Approved	The Government of Malaysia has decided to encourage the use of Open Source Software (OSS) in the Malaysian Public Sector. The Malaysian Administration Modernisation and Management Planning Unit (MAMPU) of the Prime Minister Department is given the responsibility to implement this OSS Initiative. <sup>111</sup>

Netherlands	Legislative	Preference	Feb. 2003	Proposed	“The lower chamber of the Parliament adopted a resolution proposed by the Green Party calling for the sole use of software with open standards in the public administration by 2006. The resolution also called on the Government ‘to actively promote the development and deployment of open source software in the public administration, and to this end to set concrete and ambitious goals.’ The resolution was supported by other members of the Parliament, and was based on an extensive action plan prepared by the Green Party to promote open source software.” <sup>112</sup>
Netherlands	Ministers of the Interior and of Economy	Preference	Feb. 2003	Proposed	Established an action plan for the promotion of Open Standards and OSS in the public administration (the plan looks to have come out of the Program mentioned above). <sup>113</sup>
Netherlands	Ministries of Interior and Economic Affairs	R&D	Jan. 2007	Approved	In the beginning of 2003, the Dutch government started a program called Open Standards and Open Source Software (OSSOS) to stimulate Dutch government agencies to use open standards in their software and to inform them about open source software. “Last month, 10 major Dutch cities signed a Manifesto of the Open Cities, signaling that the OSOSS program is working.” <sup>114</sup>
Norway	Norwegian Board of Technology	Advisory	Dec. 2004	Approved	An independent government advisory board recommended the public stimulation of OSS development through pilot programs. <sup>115</sup>
Norway	Ministry of Modernization	Mandatory	May 2007	Proposed	Norway is the latest European country to move closer to mandatory government use of ODF (and PDF). Norway now joins Belgium, Finland, and France (among other nations) in moving toward a final decision to require such use. <sup>116</sup>
Norway	Ministry of Labor and Gov’t Admin	Preference	July 2002	Approved	Norway cancelled a contract with proprietary vender to allow for more competition from Open Source and other software. <sup>117</sup>
Norway	Directorate on Public Management	R&D	Aug. 2001	Approved	Statskonsult, a state-owned company, carried out a report recommending OSS in the public sector and in education. <sup>118</sup>
OECD	WPISP	Advisory	Oct. 2002	Approved	“The OECD Working Party on Information Society and Privacy (WPISP) prepared a draft implementation plan of the guidelines for the security of information systems and networks. The draft recommends the utilization of open source technology.” <sup>119</sup>
Pakistan	IT Ministry	R&D	Apr. 2004	Approved	The Ministry has “decided to launch an Rs37 million project to train 4,000 government officials from different ministries and departments” on the use of open source. <sup>120</sup>
Pakistan	Ministry of Science & Technology	R&D	2003	Approved	The government established a Task Force for Linux to set up “future directions” for Pakistani IT. <sup>121</sup>

Paraguay	National Science and Technology Council	R&D	May 2005	Approved	Paraguay's government is studying whether to implement open source in all its entities. <sup>122</sup>
Peru	Legislative	Mandatory	Dec. 2002	Failed	Four similar bills mandating use of OSS in all "government agencies and state-majority-owned companies;" proposed in December 2001, March 2002, April 2002, and May 2002 respectively. Bills heard by committee, which removed all four bills from consideration. In October of 2005, a law was passed mandating technological neutrality. <sup>123</sup>
Peru	Legislative	Mandatory	Nov. 2003 / Sept. 2005	Approved	Bill requiring all "Executive, Legislative, and Judicial branches of government, regional and local state entities, and national educational centres" to use OSS. A similar bill was introduced in September 2003 by a Vice-President of the Congress. Soft preference bill introduced in June 2003. In September 2005, parliament approved bill that "prohibits any public institution from buying systems that tie users into any particular type of software that limits 'information autonomy.'" <sup>124</sup>
Peru	Legislative	Mandatory	Dec. 2002	Failed	Bill 1609 mandates free software in all the systems and computing equipment of every State agency. Proposal removed in December of 2002 by the Consumer Protection Committee. <sup>125</sup>
Philippines	Department of Science and Technology	Preference	2001	Approved	The Department's Advanced Science and Technology Institute is promoting and providing OSS (Bayanihan Linux) in government and schools. <sup>126</sup>
Philippines	National Computer Centre	R&D	Feb. 2003	Proposed	"The Director-General of NCC temporarily placed on hold a plan to promote open source software use and commissioned the NCC's Standards Division to produce a white paper on open source software. The Director-General reportedly plans to use the white paper to draft an official policy on open source software and Linux." <sup>127</sup>
Poland	Multiple Agencies	Advisory	July 2005	Proposed	In 2003, Government established advisory body comprised of six software experts that intends to promote the use of OSS in education and in public administration. In 2004, the Ministry of Science and Information Society Technologies drafted the "National Open Source Development Strategy." <sup>128</sup>
Portugal	Council of Ministers	Advisory	Jan. 2002	Approved	"The Council of Ministers adopted a non-binding resolution promoting use of open source software in the public administration..." <sup>129</sup>
Portugal	Parliament	Mandatory	Oct. 2003	Failed	Bill would have mandated OSS in public administrations. It was rejected on October 10, 2003. <sup>130</sup>
Portugal	Ministry of Education	Preference	Mar. 2004	Approved	Ministry entered into a 5-year deal with OSS company for secondary schools. <sup>131</sup>
S. Korea	IT Industry Promotion Agency	Preference	Feb. 2004	Approved	About 1,000 information systems development projects for local autonomous governments would switch to OSS as part of a larger plan to move more systems to OSS. <sup>132</sup>

S. Korea	Ministry of Information and Communication	Preference	Mar. 2005	Approved	The Ministry will promote OSS use in government by offering a total of 3 billion Won to agencies switching from proprietary software. <sup>133</sup>
S. Korea	Korean Government	Preference	Dec. 2002	Proposed	“The Korean Government has actively supported the development and proliferation of Linux through the Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute (ETRI) and the Korean IT Industry Promotion Agency (KIPA). The KIPA Working Group for the Promotion of Open Source Software published a ‘Research Paper on Open Source Software - Focusing on Legal Problems and Foreign Policies’.” <sup>134</sup>
S. Korea	Ministry of Information and Communication	Preference	2003	Proposed	The Ministry of Information and Communication announced a plan to foster the nation's OSS industry throughout 2003. <sup>135</sup>
S. Korea	Ministry of Information and Communication	Preference	July 2006	Proposed	Government has announced its plan to replace proprietary software with OSS to promote competition. <sup>136</sup>
S. Korea	Ministry of Education	Preference	Oct. 2006	Approved	The government launched the National Education Information System (NEIS), built “almost entirely on an open source model” that uses Linux and Sun Microsystems’ Solaris. <sup>137</sup>
Singapore	Economic Development Board	Preference	2003	Approved	Offers tax breaks to companies that use GNU/Linux operating systems instead of proprietary ones to encourage development of the local software sector. <sup>138</sup>
Slovakia	N/A	Advisory	Aug. 2006	Approved	The Slovak OpenSource Initiative (SKOSI) was founded to create and support free and open source software (FOSS) and free multi-platform infrastructure solutions in the Slovak Republic, as well as to support FOSS integration into education and the government sectors. <sup>139</sup>
Slovenia	Ministry of Information Society	Advisory	Oct. 2003	Approved	OSS and proprietary options are given equal consideration in procurements, though the government plans to “contribute to propagating information and knowledge...of the use of software and solutions based on open source.” <sup>140</sup>
South Africa	Government Information Officers' Council	Preference	June 2003	Approved	The Government Information Officers' Council (GITOC) has concluded that: “As OSS offers significant indirect advantages, opting for OSS will be preferable where the direct advantages and disadvantages of OSS and PS are equally strong...open standards will be a prerequisite for all software development, thus contributing to the ease with which OSS can be implemented and adapted; Government will encourage partnerships ... to foster the utilisation of OSS.” Approved by Cabinet in June 2003. <sup>141</sup>
South Africa	Department of Science and Technology	R&D	Dec. 2003	Approved	Department of Science and Technology is funding the Open Source Center to promote government and educational uses of OSS. <sup>142</sup>

South Africa	Government IT Officer's Council	R&D	Aug. 2006	Approved	Council investigating use of FOSS in 2003 made recommendations promoting FOSS applications when proprietary ones offered no advantage. In 2005, the revised policy stated that the "South African Government will implement FOSS unless proprietary software is demonstrated to be significantly superior... Whenever FOSS is not implemented, then reasons must be provided in order to justify the implementation of proprietary software." <sup>143</sup>
Spain	Administración General del Estado	Advisory	June 2003	Approved	The Superior Information Council, which is tasked by Spain's General Administration for the approval and diffusion of IT criteria and normalization, recommends adopting OSS when available and when it is satisfactory for the task. <sup>144</sup>
Spain	Ministry of Public Administrations	Mandatory	May 2005	Failed	Full migration to OSS in 1999 for the Ministry of Public Administrations. The migration seems to have been abandoned. <sup>145</sup>
Spain	Legislative	Mandatory		Proposed	Bill would require all public administration websites, software, and documents to be Linux compatible. <sup>146</sup>
Spain	Legislative	Preference	May 2002	Failed	"The Parliament rejected a proposed bill that would have required all regional governments to prefer open source software and promote development of open source products." <sup>147</sup>
Spain	Legislative	Preference	Aug. 2002	Proposed	Bill would require regional governments to establish OSS preferences. <sup>148</sup>
Spain	N/A	R&D	Mar. 2005	Approved	The government created the National Center for Open Source Software. <sup>149</sup>
Spain	Development of the Information Society	R&D	May 2006	Approved	Government will provide 12 Million Euros for OSS research projects. <sup>150</sup>
Spain	N/A	R&D	May 2006	Approved	"The National Plan for Scientific Research, Development and Technological Innovation (2004-2007) includes a specific budget line for OSS projects, representing 5% of the total budget for R&D for Information Society technologies." <sup>151</sup>
Spain	Legislative	Preference	Jan. 2007	Approved	Nearly unanimous resolution in the Parliament promotes the use of OSS in public administration. <sup>152</sup>
Sri Lanka	ICT Agency	Advisory	Jan. 2004	Proposed	ICT Agency Chair stated, "While the Government realizes that Open Source is not suitable for all situations, it supports and encourages its use where it provides a benefit. Sri Lankan companies too may benefit by adopting open source development methodologies when they make business sense." <sup>153</sup>
Sweden	Agency for Public Management	R&D	Aug. 2003	Approved	The Swedish Agency for Public Management (Statskontoret) completed a study "to describe free and open source software and to offer suggestions for further work and measures." The report recommends that OSS "be judged on an even par with proprietary software in a procurement process." <sup>154</sup>



Sweden	Association of Local Authorities and Regions	R&D	Nov. 2005	Approved	The Swedish Association of Local Authorities and Regions is running “Programverket,” a project to help the public sector adopt or convert to OSS. Programverket will also provide support and facilitate collaboration with OSS in the public sector. <sup>155</sup>
Switzerland	IT Council	Advisory	Mar. 2004	Approved	Four-year strategy allows central and local governments to consider OSS alongside proprietary software and sets up “an environment for successful OSS implementation.” <sup>156</sup>
Taiwan	Ministry of Economic Affairs	Preference	Oct. 2003	Approved	Taiwan will spend US\$3.4 million into promoting OSS development. The government “aims to have 30% of servers and 5% of personal computers operating on open-source software by 2007.” <sup>157</sup>
Taiwan	Commission of the Legislative Yuan	Preference	June 2002	Approved	The Government wants to encourage R&D and use of OSS. The initiative, which aimed to decrease licensing fees for the government’s 1.23 million PCs, resulted in Microsoft price cuts for Taiwan. <sup>158</sup>
Taiwan	Government Procurement Agency	Mandatory	June 2006	Approved	All government PC’s must be Linux compatible. <sup>159</sup>
Tanzania	Executive	Advisory	Feb. 2003	Approved	A National ICT Policy document recommends the use of OSS. <sup>160</sup>
Thailand	Ministerial	Advisory	June 2003	Approved	Agreement between the ICT Ministry and the Ministry of Science and Technology to develop and promote OSS in private sector. <sup>161</sup>
Thailand	ICT Ministry	Advisory	May / Nov. 2003	Approved	Agreement with the Thai Software Industry (ATSI) to stimulate OSS development and to distribute one million Linux based computers by May 2004. <sup>162</sup> By August 2003, the government had sold 300,000 PCs. <sup>163</sup>
Thailand	National Electronic and Computer Technology Centre	Advisory	May 2005	Approved	The NECTEC director is encouraging the use of OSS and said the Thai government has no plans to completely eliminate proprietary software, but would be happy with a 50 percent OSS penetration rate. <sup>164</sup>
Thailand	Software Industry Promotion Agency	Advisory	Feb. 2005	Approved	SIPA is driving Linux adoption in government agencies, schools, and universities. <sup>165</sup>
Thailand	Information and Communications Ministry	Advisory	Dec. 2002	Proposed	“The Information and Communications Ministry strongly endorsed open source software in connection with an announcement of 95 new ICT projects for 2003... the Ministry would encourage government agencies to increase the use of free software, including the Pladoa (Thai language open source software) operating system.” <sup>166</sup>
Thailand	Information and Communications Ministry	Preference	Feb. 2003	Proposed	“The Information and Communications Ministry announced that the Ministry would support open source technology with funding and policy initiatives.” <sup>167</sup>

Thailand	National Electronic and Computer Technology Centre	R&D	Sept. 2004	Approved	In October 2001, “Government officials announced that agencies would begin backing initiatives aimed at using the Thai language OSS (Pladoa) in an effort to reduce costs, reduce software piracy, and increase the self-sufficiency of Thailand’s economy. Some members of Parliament have also proposed incorporating open source specifications into government IT procurement. Thailand’s [NECTEC] is actively involved in the development of OSS office suites and Linux based operating systems.” <sup>168</sup> In 2003, NECTEC developed a Linux distribution for schools and government desktops. In January 2004, as a partnership with the Lab School Project, NECTEC began developing Linux server distribution for 921 schools. <sup>169</sup>
UK	OGC/ e-Government Unit	Advisory	Oct. 2004	Approved	The updated version of Government policy on the use of Open Source Software within the UK government specifies software choices should be made on a money-for-value basis, giving no preference to OSS. The National Technical Authority for Information Assurance (CESG) will examine issues regarding OSS for use in government systems. <sup>170</sup>
UK	OGC	R&D	Sept. 2003	Approved	November 2002 Case Study and September 2003 “Proof of Concept” Final Report state that OSS is a “viable and credible alternative” to proprietary software and recommend the public sector consider benefits of development and migration. <sup>171</sup>
UK	OGC/ e-Government Unit	R&D	Oct. 2003 / Oct. 2004	Approved	Nine government agencies tested OSS to “measure the effectiveness and cost-benefits of IT systems based on OSS products.” <sup>172</sup> OCG concluded testing found that “open source software is a viable and credible alternative to proprietary software” for many applications, but there are still limitations hindering its use. It recommends a gradual introduction of OSS as applications improve. <sup>173</sup>
UK	e-Envoy Office / Dept. of Industry and Trade	R&D	Feb. 2003	Approved	“The e-Envoy Office and the Department of Industry and Trade (DTI) adopted interim conclusions on government-funded R&D software outputs...[that] state that if no exploitation route is specified for government-funded R&D software outputs, the default position of the government should be ‘to adopt an open source software license which complies with the OSI definition (which includes the GPL and Berkeley style licenses) or a UK-specific analogue of it’ [and] ‘all government-funded software should be accompanied by appropriate documentation which will assist the exploitation via the open source software license’.” <sup>174</sup>
UK	Office of the Deputy Prime Minister	R&D	June 2005	Approved	The government will sponsor research at the National Computing Centre in OS applications in the public sector. <sup>175</sup>
Ukraine	Legislative	Preference	Mar. 2003	Proposed	A member of Parliament submitted a new version of an open source software preference bill originally submitted in August 2002. The bill mandates the use of free software and free licenses in all government institutions at the state and local level and state-owned agencies when such software “exists in the market (or is freely accessible); and does not yield to ‘closed’ software and/or has advantages compared with the ‘closed’ software according to correlation of price and function.” <sup>176</sup> A version of this legislation was still under consideration in June 2005. <sup>177</sup>

UN	UNDP	Advisory	Apr. 2003	Approved	“The UNDP actively promotes government open source software adoption. The Asia-Pacific Development Information Programme (APDIP) of the UNDP launched the International Open Source Network to aid countries in sharing information on open source software. UNDP is implementing the DOT Force action items on software development by promoting the use and dissemination of open source software within developing countries.” <sup>178</sup>
UN	UNCTAD	Advisory	Nov. 2003	Approved	Calls on poor countries to adopt OSS to bridge the digital divide by lowering costs, increasing security, stimulating local economies, and avoiding proprietary lock-in as reasons for adopting OSS. <sup>179</sup>
U.S.	DoD	Advisory	June 2003	Approved	Established rules for open source use at DoD. <sup>180</sup>
U.S.	OMB	Advisory	July 2004	Approved	Agencies’ procurements must consider cost of ownership and maintenance, as well as risks, security, and privacy of data. Policies are “technology and vendor neutral.” <sup>181</sup>
Venezuela	Executive	Advisory	Aug. 2002	Approved	The government policy articulates “open source whenever possible, proprietary software only when necessary.” <sup>182</sup>
Venezuela	Executive	Mandatory	Dec. 2004	Approved	The decree requires all public administration systems to shift to OSS, and in the cases where OSS cannot be used, the agency in need must take requests to adopt other solutions to the Ministry of Science and Technology. The decree also talks about R&D, cooperation, and education in OSS. <sup>183</sup>
Venezuela	Executive	R&D	Nov. 2003	Approved	The Venezuelan Academy of Open Source Software opens in Mérida. <sup>184</sup>
Vietnam	Executive	Preference	Mar. 2004	Approved	OSS plan for 2004-2008 approved by Prime Minister to develop and accelerate use of OSS for ICT applications; the measure takes steps to encourage OSS adoption in state-owned companies and ministries, but does not require it. <sup>185</sup>
Vietnam	Ministry of Science, Technology, and Environment	R&D	Mar. 2004	Approved	The Ministry will spend \$20 million over four years to promote OSS use, develop new OSS applications, and build a skill pool. <sup>186</sup>
Vietnam	Ninth Party National Congress	R&D	Aug. 2002	Approved	“The Ninth Party National Congress approved ‘The Master Plan for IT Use and Development in Vietnam for the Period 2001-2005.’ The Plan, overseen by the Ministry of Science, Technology, and Environment (MOSTE) calls for the accelerated development of ‘system, intermediate and application software in Vietnamese based Linux or other open source operating systems’.” <sup>187</sup>

## States / Provinces / Cities Open Source Policies

Data Compiled by Jason Keiber, Joshua Krieger, Ashley Rasmussen, and Jitka Sladka

Policies that have been added since the last version of this chart are listed in BLUE.

Policies that have been updated since the last version of this chart are listed in RED.

GOVERNMENT	BRANCH OR AGENCY	ACTION	DATE	STATUS	DETAILS AND SOURCES
Argentina, Buenos Aires City	Legislative	Mandatory	2004	Failed	Three separate bills, from 2002-2004, requiring all information systems in the public sector must use OSS. All three bills were handled by the Committee of Education, Science and Technology, and the 2004 version went through the General Legislation and Work, and Budget and Public Finance committees as well. <sup>188</sup>
Argentina, Jujuy Province	Legislative	Mandatory	May 2002	Proposed	All state computer systems will run OSS. As of May 2002, the bill was being handled by the Committee of General Legislation. <sup>189</sup>
Argentina, La Plata City	Legislative	Mandatory	Nov. 2002	Proposed	Draft decree mandating use of free software for all local public administrations. <sup>190</sup>
Argentina, Buenos Aires Province	Legislative	Mandatory	Nov. 2003	Failed	Senate passed bill “E-135 2002 2003” on November 26, 2003; sent to House. Bill would have mandated exclusive use of OSS when possible. <sup>191</sup>
Australia, Victoria	Info and Comm Technology Ministry	Preference	Dec. 2003	Approved	The Victoria government gave a \$50,000 grant to Open Source Victoria (OSV), a cluster of over 80 firms working in technology related to FOSS as part of a gov’t program to promote FOSS. <sup>192</sup>
Australia, ACT	Legislative	Advisory	Dec. 2003	Approved	“The Australian Capital Territory has become the first Australian jurisdiction to mandate the <i>consideration</i> of open source software for government entities.” <sup>193</sup>
Australia, NSW	Department of Commerce	Preference	Oct. 2003	Approved	NSW gov’t signed contract with U.S. OSS company, enabling agencies to make procurements. <sup>194</sup>
Australia, NSW	Legislation	Preference	July 2003	Proposed	Bill proposed similar to SA bill requiring public authorities to prefer OSS to commercial software. <sup>195</sup>
Australia, NSW	N/A	Preference	Apr. 2005	Approved	Government established an “accredited panel of companies” to provide “specialist assistance in the provision of open-source software services” so that “agencies will not have to go through the time-consuming and expensive process of running an open tender every time they require Linux software and services.” Panelists included representatives from major OSS and computer companies. <sup>196</sup>

Australia, South Australia	Legislation	Preference	2003	Failed	SA government “State Supply Amendment Bill 2003” stated that “A public authority must, in making a decision about the procurement of computer software... use open source software in preference to proprietary software.” Bill Lapsed. <sup>197</sup>
Australia, Victoria	Legislative	Advisory	June 2005	Approved	A parliament committee recommends OSS for use in e-voting machines so voters can “be satisfied with the integrity of the system.” <sup>198</sup>
Australia, Western Australia	State Development Ministry	R&D	Aug. 2004	Approved	State Development Minister Clive Brown created an open source demonstration centre to promote and support the use of OSS in the region. <sup>199</sup>
Austria, Vienna	City Council	Preference	Jan. 2005	Approved	City of Vienna will begin “soft migration” to Open Office and Linux by offering the software to local government users in the second quarter of 2005. <sup>200</sup>
Belgium, Brussels-Capital Region	Legislative	Mandatory	Feb. 2003	Proposed	Requires the exclusive use of OSS in the public administration of the Brussels-Capital Region. Passed by “la Commission des Affaires générales de la Cocof” on February 11, 2003, but requires a vote by the Assembly. Currently in the Conseil d'Etat. <sup>201</sup>
Belgium, Brussels-Capital Region	Legislative	Mandatory	Sept. 2003	Proposed	Introduced at the federal level, this bill would require the exclusive use of OSS in the public administration of the Brussels-Capital Region. <sup>202</sup>
Brazil, multiple municipalities	Legislative	Preference	2003	Approved	Amparo, Campinas, Porto Alegre, Recife, São Carlos, Solonopole, Ribeirão Pires, and Viçosa have passed laws requiring the use of OSS when available. <sup>203</sup>
Brazil, municipalities of Cuiritiba, Florianopolis, Sao Paulo	N/A	R&D	2003	Proposed	Cities “have considered or are considering open source preference proposals.” Some proposals have already failed. <sup>204</sup>
Brazil, Rio Grande do Sul	Legislative	Preference	2003	Approved	Use of FOSS mandatory in gov’t agencies and non-gov’t managed utilities, when available. <sup>205</sup>
Brazil, State of Espirito Santo	Legislative	Preference	Dec. 2002	Approved	Requires state public administrations and state-owned companies to give preference to OSS systems and programs. <sup>206</sup>
Brazil, State of Mato Grosso do Sol	Legislative	Preference	Apr. 2003	Approved	Bill authorizing use of OSS in public administrations, state agencies, and companies; also lists OSS advantages. <sup>207</sup>
Brazil, State of Parana	Legislative	Preference	June 2004	Approved	State government shall prefer OSS operating systems and software in public administrations. The state technology organization is offering free software to 10,000 gov’t employees. <sup>208</sup>
Brazil, State of Santa Catarina	Legislative	Preference	Jan. 2004	Approved	Preferential use of OSS by state administrations and agencies. <sup>209</sup>

Brazil, State of São Paulo	State Gov't	R&D	Nov. 2004	Approved	OSS company signed a cooperation agreement with the State Gov't to train 980 state government professionals in OSS and OSS IT management. <sup>210</sup>
Brazil, States of Bahia, Minas Gerais, Rio de Janeiro, and São Paulo	Legislative	Preference	Feb. 2004	Proposed	OSS proposals pending. <sup>211</sup>
China, Beijing	Municipal Gov't	R&D	2003	Approved	Created the Beijing Software Industry Productivity Center with projects (Yangfan) to improve local distributions of Linux. <sup>212</sup>
Finland, Turku	N/A	R&D	Aug. 2004	Failed	Move to Linux seemed probable after pilot testing in 2001, but the city abandoned the switch in favor of Windows systems. <sup>213</sup>
France , Brest	N/A	R&D	Apr. 2005	Approved	City is distributing CDs to the population with open-source word processing software, a standard spreadsheet, and web browser. <sup>214</sup>
France, Arles	IT Department	Preference	May 2005	Approved	With the implementation of "Open Elec" software, all PCs in the municipality have migrated to open source office suites, databases, and application servers, though they are still running proprietary operating systems. <sup>215</sup>
France, Paris	City Council	Preference	Nov. 2005	Approved	In 2004, The city council pushed for a shift to OSS, despite a report that indicated an immediate, system-wide shift would be costly and infeasible. As of November 2005, 196 of the Paris government's 395 servers were running Linux, and the government invited 46,000 staff to use OSS. <sup>216</sup>
Germany, Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania	National Audit Office	Preference	Nov. 2003	Proposed	National audit office of Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, which also provides support to the federal government, changed over to Linux. The entire federal state plans to change over to open source. <sup>217</sup>
Germany, Schwaebisch Hall	N/A	Preference	Mar. 2003	Approved	The City completed its plan of converting all 300 desktops and 15 servers from Microsoft to Linux software. <sup>218</sup>
Germany, Frisia	N/A	Preference	June 2005	Approved	The regional government announced plans to migrate to OSS by 2007. <sup>219</sup>
Germany, Mannheim	N/A	Preference	Dec. 2005	Approved	The city has already migrated all servers to OSS. Next, all 3,500 desktops will move to open source office suites and operating systems. The reason cited is to support open standards, not costs. In fact, they state that they do not necessarily expect cost savings with OSS. <sup>220</sup>
Germany, Munich	Legislation	Preference	Sept. 2004	Approved	Spending ~30 million Euros switching 14,000 computers (May 2003). Officially adopted on June 16, 2004, paused in Fall 2004 because of legal concerns, then resumed in September 2004. <sup>221</sup>

Germany, Munich	Legislative	Mandatory	May 2003	Approved	Munich government voted to switch 14,000 computers from Windows to Linux operating system, despite Microsoft's efforts to change their mind. <sup>222</sup>
India, State of Goa	Department of Information Technology	Preference	Jan. 2002	Approved	IT Secretary issued circular recommending the use of Linux. <sup>223</sup>
India, State of Kerala	Legislative	Advisory	Jan. 2007	Proposed	Kerala state to support private initiatives in development of IT parks. Proposals include establishment of an International Centre for Free Software and Computing for Development, ITES Training Centre (in Kochi), and extension of Internet to all educational institutions and villages by 2010. <sup>224</sup>
India, State of Kerala	Legislative	Preference	June 2007	Approved	Kerala state government partnered with Red Hat India to train teachers and government users to use the Linux platform. <sup>225</sup>
India, State of Maharashtra	Ministry of IT	R&D	Apr. 2005	Approved	Ministry of IT launched a freely distributed CD of an Indianised GNU/Linux operating system with open source applications. <sup>226</sup>
India, State of Tamil Nadu	Legislative	Preference	May 2005	Approved	Government provides Linux in desktop systems only used for office application. The managing director of the Electronics Corp. of Tamil Nadu said that government offices that want to use Windows must prove it to be absolutely necessary. <sup>227</sup>
Italy, Province of Emilia Romagna	Legislative	Preference	Nov. 2004	Approved	Law 24/05/2004 N.11 says, "The Regional Structure must program the introduction of FLOSS, Open Data Standards and open/free communication protocols." Stated goals of the law are to provide freedom to access and to remove technical "barriers," such as non-standard formats. <sup>228</sup>
Italy, Province of Lombardy	Legislative	Preference	May 2003	Proposed	OSS preference proposal introduced. <sup>229</sup>
Italy, Province of Pescara	Legislative	Preference	Nov. 2004	Approved	May 2002 motion to introduce and develop FOSS in the local public administration. Province also set out to purchase Linux systems for school construction and public education. <sup>230</sup>
Italy, Rome	N/A	R&D	Feb. 2004	Approved	Linux will be used in some of the public administration's computers as a test. <sup>231</sup>
Italy, Rome	e-Governance Department	R&D	Feb. 2007	Approved	Province of Rome to hold contest for 18-24 year old programmers. Contestants must use OSS and "develop a completely new application" that "should provide an original solution to typical public administration tasks." The top contestant will receive 4,500 euros. The contest goal is to promote OSS for local public administration. <sup>232</sup>
Italy, Tuscany	Legislative	Preference	Jan. 2004	Approved	Bill 186, states a preference for OSS in all IT use by the regional public administration. <sup>233</sup>

Italy: Florence, Lodi, Milan, Pescara, Argenta, Torino, Pistoia	Green Party Motions in City governments	Preference	2001- 2003	Approved	Motions to introduce and expand the use of OSS in the public administration. The bills share some of the same language and seem to be initiated all around by the Green Party. <sup>234</sup> [Note: some are still pending.]
Japan: Prefecture of Hokkaido, Sumoto City	N/A	Preference	Feb. 2004	Proposed	Announced or considering systems migration to OSS. <sup>235</sup>
Japan: Prefecture of Nagasaki	N/A	Preference	2003	Proposed	Announced business plans promoting OSS. <sup>236</sup>
Malaysia, state of Terrengganu	State prime minister	Preference	Feb. 2005 / May 2006	Approved	State will emulate Spain's Extremadura region in promoting OSS. Government issued reports and held seminars on the use of OSS at program launching ceremony. <sup>237</sup>
Netherlands, Municipality of Eindhoven	City Council	Preference	Nov. 2004	Proposed	Municipality wants a plan to use OSS by Spring 2005 believing it can reduce IT costs. The motion was initiated by the political party SP. <sup>238</sup>
Netherlands, Amsterdam	N/A	Preference	Oct. 2003 / Dec. 2006	Proposed	OSS test for server and desktop applications could lead to migration of 15,000 city council desktops. <sup>239</sup> The City's contract with Microsoft expires in 2008, so it will test the Linux operating system with the city's housing service and the borough of Zeeburg before deciding whether to renew their Microsoft contract or move to OSS. <sup>240</sup>
Netherlands, Municipality of Haren	N/A	Preference	Apr. 2005	Proposed	The municipality wants to establish an open source server-based computing environment and is evaluating "the use of open source desktop solutions aiming to encourage and to implement free software within the municipality in 2005." <sup>241</sup>
Norway, Bergen	N/A	Preference	June 2004	Approved	The city will move education and health servers to OSS due to cost and system reliability preference. The large scale migration was about 50 percent complete by November 2004. <sup>242</sup>
Norway, Oslo	Education office	R&D	Nov. 2003	Approved	The project aims "to use Linux throughout all the Schools in Oslo, and also have it integrated with the municipalities' administrative systems." <sup>243</sup>
Spain, Andalucia	Legislative	Preference	Mar. 2003	Approved	All educational computers and public Internet sites must be compatible with free software. <sup>244</sup>
Spain, Asturias	N/A	Preference	Nov. 2004	Proposed	The Asturian government has agreed on a plan to gradually install OSS on all public administration desktops. <sup>245</sup>



Spain, Barcelona	City Council	Advisory	Dec. 2004	Approved	IT strategy calls for the promotion of OSS within the City of Barcelona's administration. <sup>246</sup> By July 2005, "the city has distributed more than 60,000 CDs with CATix, an internationalized version of GNU/Linux in Catalan." <sup>247</sup>
Spain, Canary Islands	Legislative	Advisory	July 2001	Approved	A nonbonding resolution urging the use of FOSS. <sup>248</sup>
Spain, Catalan	Legislative	Preference	May 2002	Proposed	Bill would require a preference for OSS in all public administrative bodies. <sup>249</sup>
Spain, Catalan	Ministry of Education	R&D	Oct. 2004	Proposed	Ministry will pursue OSS options in schools beginning in the 2005-2006 school year. <sup>250</sup>
Spain, Extremadura	Legislative	Preference	Nov. 2002 / May 2004	Approved	Plan to switch all computer systems in gov't offices, businesses, and homes to Linux and FOSS applications. Estimated 110,000 machines will be affected. The plan is continuing to unfold with the region's computers running a customized version of Linux, which is now running on 80,000 computers in schools. <sup>251</sup>
Spain, Galicia	Galician Council for Information and Industry	R&D	May 2006	Approved	The Galician government helped set up Forxa, a "repository for Open Source software," with hopes that it would stimulate the region's software industry. <sup>252</sup>
Spain, Valencia	N/A	Advisory	Feb. 2004	Approved	Launched Spring 2003 initiative to promote OSS use in educational field. <sup>253</sup>
Spain, Valencia	Executive	Mandatory	May 2005	Proposed	A spokesman for the administration said that public officials have a duty not to pay for software, and that the regional administration would shift to OSS within two years. <sup>254</sup>
Switzerland, Geneva Canton	Tax Office	Preference	Feb. 2003	Approved	Along with a tax declaration program, Swiss authorities sent all taxpayers copies of two open source programs. <sup>255</sup>
Switzerland, Zurich	N/A	Advisory	Sept. 2003	Proposed	City of Zurich to report on what it would take to start a transfer to FOSS. <sup>256</sup> In 2005, "the city announced that open source as well as proprietary software will be treated equivalently." <sup>257</sup>
Thailand, Bangkok	Bangkok Metropolitan Administration (BMA)	Preference	Feb. 2003	Approved	Policy announced that BMA would use OSS because of cost concerns. <sup>258</sup>
UK, Cheshire County	County Council	R&D	June 2005	Approved	The county is the first to test OSS in the national OS Lab in Manchester. <sup>259</sup>
UK, City of Newham	City Council	Preference	Nov. 2003 / Aug. 2004	Failed	Newham council announced that, after study, significant savings not achievable from OSS migration. <sup>260</sup> After the study, Newham signed a ten-year deal with Microsoft. <sup>261</sup>

UK, City of Nottingham	City Council	Preference	June 2003	Proposed	Council examined feasibility of migrating its 6,500 desktops to OSS. <sup>262</sup>
UK, West Yorkshire	Police	R&D	Oct. 2002	Approved	In pilot program, West Yorkshire police tested Linux workstations on their 3,500 desktops. <sup>263</sup>
Uruguay, City of San José	Legislative	Preference	June 2003	Approved	Resolution passed calling for exclusive use of OSS within administration. <sup>264</sup>
U.S., Alabama	Legislation	Advisory	2005	Failed	Legislation introduced in March 2004 to expressly permit OSS use in state agencies where feasible; failed in committee. <sup>265</sup>
U.S., Beaverton, Oregon	Executive	R&D	Jan. 2005	Approved	City and state will provide \$1.2 million for a facility to lure open-source start-ups. <sup>266</sup>
U.S., California	Performance Review Commission	Advisory	2004	Approved	A commission appointed by the governor recommended that state agencies implement OSS where feasible. <sup>267</sup>
U.S., California	Legislative	Mandatory		Failed	“Digital Software Security Act.” All software for the state must be open source. <sup>268</sup>
U.S., Hawaii	Legislative	Preference	Apr. 2003	Failed	Bill would have required report on OSS use and the creation of a state policy supporting OSS applications within government agencies. Bill passed Senate and was left in House committees. <sup>269</sup>
U.S., Hawaii	Legislative	Advisory	2004	Approved	2004 HB1739 establishes OSS pilot project in Department of Education. Passed after committee amendments decreased appropriations from \$50,000 to “\$1 or so much thereof as may be necessary for fiscal year 2004-2005.” <sup>270</sup>
U.S., Massachusetts	CIO Office	Advisory	Feb. 2003	Approved	IT Commission recommends, “Leverage ownership of existing application assets by establishing an ‘open source’ program within the Commonwealth.” <sup>271</sup>
U.S., Massachusetts	Secretary of Admin and Finance	Mandatory	Sept. 2003 / Jan. 2004	Failed	Government-wide policy of “open standards, open source” (when available) for all future spending on information technology. <sup>272</sup> Later the IT Acquisition Policy was clarified, saying that IT acquisitions shall be based on “best value” and consider “all possible solutions,” including software with open standards and open source. <sup>273</sup>
U.S., Massachusetts	Information Technology Division	Preference	Sept. 2005	Approved	“The OpenDocument format must be used for office documents,” which will result in the use of OSS office suites. In July 2007, the state added Microsoft’s Open XML format to its approved list for open standards. <sup>274</sup>
U.S., Massachusetts	Executive	Advisory	July 2007	Proposed	Having already mandated the use of open format applications, the executive branch “policy update would list [Microsoft’s standardized open format application] Office Open XML as acceptable ‘open formats’ for use by executive-branch state agencies.” <sup>275</sup>
U.S., New York	Legislative	Preference	June 2003	Proposed	Would require state agencies to consider OSS during software acquisitions within state agencies. <sup>276</sup>

U.S., New York	Legislative	R&D	July 2007	Proposed	Bill introduced in July 2007. Would require state's IT director to study the impact of using OSS. <sup>277</sup>
U.S., Oklahoma	Legislative	Mandatory	Feb. 2003	Proposed	Bill proposed prohibits acquiring software unless the source code is provided. Reintroduced January 9, 2004. No action taken as of 2005. <sup>278</sup>
U.S., Oregon	Legislative	Preference	May 2003	Proposed	SB 941, for considering OSS during procurement. As of 8/27/2003, bill was "in committee upon adjournment." <sup>279</sup> Similar House bill, HB 2892, left in committee. <sup>280</sup>
U.S., States of KS, MA, MO, PA, RI, UT, VA, WV	Cross State	R&D	June 2004	Approved	Launched June 30, 2004, "The Government Open Code Collaborative is a voluntary collaboration between public sector entities and non-profit academic institutions created for the purpose of encouraging the sharing, at no cost, of computer code developed for and by government entities where the redistribution of this code is allowed." <sup>281</sup>
U.S., Texas	Legislative	Advisory	May 2003	Proposed	Left pending in committee 05/08/2003, revised in 2003 to call on the Dept. of Information Resources to issue procurement guidelines that include simple consideration of open source; no action taken as of July 2007. <sup>282</sup>

## Additional Sources

**UNCTAD Report.** United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 114-9. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)

**Compilation of Bills:**

<http://www.aful.org/politique/perou/english/referencias.html#ref.#2>

**OSS News Sources:**

[http://egov.alentejodigital.pt/Page10549/Open\\_Source/open\\_source.html](http://egov.alentejodigital.pt/Page10549/Open_Source/open_source.html)

[http://opensource.mimos.my/fossccon2003cd/paper/full\\_paper/kenneth\\_wong.pdf](http://opensource.mimos.my/fossccon2003cd/paper/full_paper/kenneth_wong.pdf)

David S. Evans and Bernard J. Reddy, “Government Preferences for Promoting Open-Source Software: A Solution in Search of a Problem,” 9 Mich. Telecomm. Tech. L. Rev. 313 (2003), <http://www.mtlr.org/volnine/evans.pdf>

**AEI-Brookings Joint Center for Regulatory Studies,**

“Government Policy Toward Open Source Software,” Robert W. Hahn, editor. 2002. <http://www.aei.brookings.org/publications/abstract.php?pid=296>

**EU Interchange of Data Between Administrations (IDA)**

Open Source Observatory: <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/chapter/452>

“Pooling Open Source Software,” June2002

<http://europa.eu.int/ISPO/ida/jsps/index.jsp?fuseAction=showDocument&documentID=550&parent=chapter&preChapterID=0-17-134>

**UN Conference on Trade and Development – Electronic Commerce Branch**

Free and Open Source Software FOSS (links and reports): [http://r0.unctad.org/ecommerce/ecommerce\\_en/freeopen\\_en.htm](http://r0.unctad.org/ecommerce/ecommerce_en/freeopen_en.htm)

**UN Development Programme International Open Source Network**

News: <http://www.iosn.net/modules.php?op=modload&name=News&file=index&catid=&topic=1>

**EU Infonomics FLOSS final report**

<http://www.infonomics.nl/FLOSS/report/>

**Proposals in Argentina:** <http://proposicion.org.ar/proyecto/leyes/index.html>

**Proposals in Italy:** <http://www.softwarelibero.it/portale/legislazione.shtml>

**List of Different Groups / Countries Using Linux**

Linux Lessons, “Who’s Using Linux?” [http://linux.bryanconsulting.com/stories/storyReader\\$45](http://linux.bryanconsulting.com/stories/storyReader$45)

- 
- <sup>1</sup> Marko Mannila, “Free and Open Source Software: Approaches in Brazil and Argentina,” June 2004, page 25  
<http://www.globaledevelopment.org/papers/FOSSTAMPEREMANNILA.doc>.
- <sup>2</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Page 114. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)
- Legislation on the use of Free Software in Argentina, <http://www.lugcos.org.ar/serv/mirrors/proposicion/proyecto/leyes/#ref.#1>
- <sup>3</sup> Marko Mannila, “Free and Open Source Software: Approaches in Brazil and Argentina,” June 2004,  
<http://www.globaledevelopment.org/papers/FOSSTAMPEREMANNILA.doc>.
- <sup>4</sup> James Riley, “Australian Tax Office to ‘Open up’ software policy,” The Australian, February 24, 2004, available on Open Source Industry Australia,  
[http://www.osia.net.au/news/open\\_source\\_in\\_australia/australian\\_tax\\_office\\_to\\_open\\_up\\_software\\_policy](http://www.osia.net.au/news/open_source_in_australia/australian_tax_office_to_open_up_software_policy)
- <sup>5</sup> Minister for Communications, Information Technology and the Arts, Media release August 31, 2004.  
<http://www.agimo.gov.au/media/2004/08/35491.html>
- <sup>6</sup> ZDNet Australia, “Commonwealth locking out open source: Democrats,” Andrew Colley, 30 September 2003  
<http://www.zdnet.com.au/news/software/0,2000061733,20278792,00.htm>  
**Australian Senate Bill found here:** [http://parlinfoweb.aph.gov.au/piweb/view\\_document.aspx?ID=1453&TABLE=BILLS](http://parlinfoweb.aph.gov.au/piweb/view_document.aspx?ID=1453&TABLE=BILLS) or  
<http://parlinfoweb.aph.gov.au/piweb/Repository/Legis/Bills/Linked/18090305.pdf>  
Computerworld Australia, “Government Takes Cautionary Stance on Open Source Software,” Nadia Cameron, September 3, 2003.  
<http://www.computerworld.com.au/pp.php?id=703987370&fp=16&fpid=0>
- <sup>7</sup> “A Guide to Open Source Software for Australian Government Agencies,” Australian Government Information Management Office, April 18, 2005,  
<http://www.sourceit.gov.au/sourceit/oss>  
Also: “Australia levels playing field for open source software,” Public Sector Technology and Management, April 12, 2005.  
<http://www.pstm.net/article/index.php?articleid=578>; also James Riley, “Govt drives open source uptake,” Australian IT, April 18, 2005,  
<http://australianit.news.com.au/articles/0,7204,15007289%5E15306%5E%5Enbv%5E,00.html> and
- <sup>8</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>9</sup> Europa IDT, eGovernment News, “Belgium opts for open standards,” July 2006, 2004. <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3146/360>  
Full article from the eGov’t Observatory: <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3132/357>  
Gov’t Press Release (French) : <http://www.belgium.be/eportal/application?pageid=contentPage&docId=35409>  
Europa IDT, eGovernment News, “FEDICT (Belgium) mandates Open Standards,” October 7, 2004. <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3336/495>
- <sup>10</sup> EU IDA, eGovernment News, “Open source bill defeated in Portugal; introduced in Belgium,” October 30, 2003.  
<http://europa.eu.int/ISPO/ida/jsps/index.jsp?fuseAction=showDocument&documentID=1718&parent=chapter&preChapterID=null-194>  
House Bill, proposal 0244/001: <http://www.lachambre.be/FLWB/pdf/51/0244/51K0244001.pdf>  
Senate Bill, proposal 3-216/1: [http://www.senaat.be/wwwcgi/get\\_pdf?50331860](http://www.senaat.be/wwwcgi/get_pdf?50331860)  
Senate Bill, proposal 3-23/1: [http://www.senate.be/wwwcgi/get\\_pdf?50331691](http://www.senate.be/wwwcgi/get_pdf?50331691)

- 
- <sup>11</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>12</sup> EU IDA, Open Source Observatory, “Belgium opts for open standards,” July 6, 2004, <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3146/194>
- <sup>13</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>14</sup> eGovernment News, “Belgian Government promotes open standard”, October 8, 2004. <http://www.epractice.eu/document/1273>
- <sup>15</sup> The Boston Globe, “Brazil’s Government Snuggles up to Linux,” Alberto Alerigi Jr., November 23, 2003. [http://www.boston.com/business/articles/2003/11/23/brazils\\_government\\_snuggles\\_up\\_to\\_linux/](http://www.boston.com/business/articles/2003/11/23/brazils_government_snuggles_up_to_linux/)
- <sup>16</sup> Alex Goldmark, “Brazil makes move to Open Source Software,” NPR News, January 31, 2005 <http://www.npr.org/templates/story/story.php?storyId=4471963>
- <sup>17</sup> Steve Kingstone, “Brazil adopts open-source software,” BBC News, June 2, 2005, <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/business/4602325.stm>.  
Also: Ingrid Marson, “Brazil to mandate open source use,” ZDNet UK, April 27, 2005, <http://news.zdnet.co.uk/software/linuxunix/0,39020390,39196592,00.htm>
- <sup>18</sup> Notified via Microsoft Correspondence (February 2004)  
Camara Brasileira de Comercio Electronico: See “Software.” [http://www.camara-e.net/projetos\\_de\\_lei.asp](http://www.camara-e.net/projetos_de_lei.asp)  
Brazilian Legislature:  
PL 2152/2003: [http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop\\_Detalhe.asp?id=136056](http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop_Detalhe.asp?id=136056)  
PL 7120/2002: [http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop\\_Detalhe.asp?id=64532](http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop_Detalhe.asp?id=64532)  
PL 4275/2001: [http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop\\_Detalhe.asp?id=26688](http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop_Detalhe.asp?id=26688)  
PL 3051/2000: [http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop\\_Detalhe.asp?id=19028](http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop_Detalhe.asp?id=19028)  
PL 2269/1999: [http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop\\_Detalhe.asp?id=17879](http://www.camara.gov.br/Internet/sileg/Prop_Detalhe.asp?id=17879)
- <sup>19</sup> “Brazil launches plan to raise PC use among poor” India Daily, May 13, 2005, [http://www.indiadaily.com/breaking\\_news/35181.asp](http://www.indiadaily.com/breaking_news/35181.asp)
- <sup>20</sup> Brazzil, “Linux Friendly Brazil,” Leonardo Stavale, August, 2004 <http://www.brazzil.com/2004/html/articles/aug04/p144aug04.htm>
- <sup>21</sup> IDG News Service, “IBM opens Linux center in Brazil,” Robert McMillan, September 2004 <http://www.itnetcentral.com/pcworld/article.asp?id=13918&info=PC+World&leveli=0>
- <sup>22</sup> Ministério da Ciência e Tecnologia - Software Livre, October 2003. <http://www.mct.gov.br/temas/sl/default.htm>  
and [http://www.mct.gov.br/temas/info/dsi/cati/programas/edital\\_cnpq%20swlivre\\_projapoi.htm](http://www.mct.gov.br/temas/info/dsi/cati/programas/edital_cnpq%20swlivre_projapoi.htm)
- <sup>23</sup> Software Livre.org, “Governo brasileiro assina acordo de cooperação com o governo coreano,” November 17, 2004. <http://www.softwarelivre.gov.br/noticias/coreia>
- <sup>24</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>25</sup> UNDP Press Release, “Bulgaria to Enhance e-Governance Initiatives by Launching Free and Open Source Software Project,” June 7, 2004

---

[http://www.undp.bg/en/documents/press\\_releases/2004/june\\_7\\_2004.pdf](http://www.undp.bg/en/documents/press_releases/2004/june_7_2004.pdf)

<sup>26</sup> “Master Plan for Implementation of FOSS in Cambodia” February 19, 2005, available <http://www.nida.gov.kh/activities/foss/MasterPlanFOSS.pdf> via [http://www.khmeros.info/khmeros\\_examples.html](http://www.khmeros.info/khmeros_examples.html)

<sup>27</sup> “Open Source Software in Canada: Open Source Business Opportunities for Canada’s Information and Communications Technology Sector: A Collaborative Fact Finding Study,” e-Cology Corporation, September 2003, [http://www.e-cology.ca/canfloss/report/CANfloss\\_Report.pdf](http://www.e-cology.ca/canfloss/report/CANfloss_Report.pdf)

<sup>28</sup> Microsoft Correspondence (February 2004)

Copy of proposed bill: <http://www.softwarelibre.cl/modules.php?op=modload&name=News&file=article&sid=236&mode=thread&order=0&thold=0>  
Proposed by Deputy Alejandro Navarro: <http://www.navarro.cl/defensa/microsoft/doc/RazonesporlasEstadoDebeUsarSoftwareLibre.htm>

<sup>29</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Policy Initiatives”

<sup>30</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Policy Initiatives”

<sup>31</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Policy Initiatives”

<sup>32</sup> China Economic Net, “China’s OSS alliance is founded to withstand Microsoft”, August 9, 2004. [http://en.ce.cn/Insight/200408/09/t20040809\\_1456400.shtml](http://en.ce.cn/Insight/200408/09/t20040809_1456400.shtml)  
See also: Interfax China Business News, “China Sets up New Alliance to Push the Development and Promote the Use of Open Source Software.” July 26, 2004

<sup>33</sup> SinoCast China IT Watch, “Asia Fighting against MS Windows OS,” December 10, 2003

[citing: 21st Century Business Herald, Page 29, Monday, September 08, 2003]

AP Business News, “Breaking Windows’ monopoly: East Asian countries consider joint project to find alternative,” Yuri Kageyama, September 20, 2003  
<http://www.detnews.com/2003/technology/0309/23/technology-276081.htm>

CNet Asia, “Korea, China, Japan start open-source collaboration,” April 2 2004, Myoung, Seung eun,  
<http://asia.cnet.com/newstech/systems/0,39001153,39174180,00.htm>

“S. Korea, China, Japan to Open Meeting to Discuss Linux Use,” Asia Pulse , 26 July 2004

Silicon.com, “Chinese Linux Snub to Microsoft: The Countdown is On,” Jerome Thorel, August 17 2004  
<http://software.silicon.com/os/0,39024651,39123223,00.htm>

<sup>34</sup> Copy of the Proposed Law: <http://bachue.com/colibri/proyecto.html>

<sup>35</sup> Costa Rican Executive Order N 30236-J, February 2002

<http://www.dse.go.cr/legislacion/Propiedad%20Intelect.-Condominios-Arrendamientos/DE-30236%20Modifica%20DE-30151-J%20sobre%20utilizacion%20software%20abierto-Gaceta%204-4-2002.pdf>

<sup>36</sup> Open Source News “OSS Law Project Emphasizes Security Factors in Costa Rica”, September 2003.

<http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/1651/531>

- 
- <sup>37</sup> CNET News.com “Cuba to migrate to open-source software” Richard Thurston, February 19, 2007  
[http://news.com.com/Cuba+to+migrate+to+open+source+software/2100-7344\\_3-6160496.html](http://news.com.com/Cuba+to+migrate+to+open+source+software/2100-7344_3-6160496.html)
- <sup>38</sup> Open Source Observatory, iDABC, EU, “The use of Open Source Software in Czech Republic,” November 30, 2005. <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/5148/469>  
Czech OSS Alliance: [http://www.oss.cz/about\\_oss\\_alliance](http://www.oss.cz/about_oss_alliance)
- <sup>39</sup> “ICA Country Report—Denmark 2003,” Offentlig Information Online, 2004, [http://www.oio.dk/styring/ica\\_2003](http://www.oio.dk/styring/ica_2003)  
Open Source and Industry Alliance, “Roundup of Selected OSS Legislative Activity Worldwide,” 2005,  
<http://www.osaia.org/documents/OSAIA%20Policy%20Tracker%20v2.pdf>  
EU IDA, Open Source Observatory, “Danish government adopts a pragmatic approach to open source,” June 27, 2003.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/1433/322>
- <sup>40</sup> Ministry of Technology, Science, and Innovation, Brief, “The Danish Software Strategy,” October 2002  
Available in English: June 20, 2003, [http://www.softwarechoice.org/download\\_files/Danish.Policy.pdf](http://www.softwarechoice.org/download_files/Danish.Policy.pdf)
- <sup>41</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>42</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>43</sup> Kirk, Jeremy. “Denmark to Test Open XML, ODF.” IDG News Service. 5 July 2007. <http://www.pcworld.com/article/id,134168-c.opensource/article.html>.
- <sup>44</sup> European Commission, Directorate General XIII, Information Society Technologies, “A thematic priority for Research and Development under the Specific Programme ‘Integrating and strengthening the European Research Area’ in the Community sixth Framework Programme” 2003-2004 Workprogramme, page 6  
<http://www.csic.es/sgri/2cuadroa.doc> AND [ftp://ftp.cordis.lu/pub/ist/docs/wp2003-04\\_final\\_en.pdf](ftp://ftp.cordis.lu/pub/ist/docs/wp2003-04_final_en.pdf)
- <sup>45</sup> EU Directorate Information Society, “eEurope 2005 Action Plan,” page 10-1. June 2002.  
[http://europa.eu.int/information\\_society/eeurope/2005/all\\_about/action\\_plan/index\\_en.htm](http://europa.eu.int/information_society/eeurope/2005/all_about/action_plan/index_en.htm)
- <sup>46</sup> Communication from the Commission to the Council, the European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. “The Role of eGovernment for Europe’s Future.” September 26, 2003, [http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex/en/com/cnc/2003/com2003\\_0567en01.pdf](http://europa.eu.int/eur-lex/en/com/cnc/2003/com2003_0567en01.pdf)
- <sup>47</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>48</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>49</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>50</sup> Information Society Technologies, “2003-2004 Workprogramme,” [ftp://ftp.cordis.lu/pub/ist/docs/wp2003-04\\_final.pdf](ftp://ftp.cordis.lu/pub/ist/docs/wp2003-04_final.pdf),  
text quoted from Open Source and Industry Alliance, “Roundup of Selected OSS Legislative Activity Worldwide,” 2005,  
<http://www.osaia.org/documents/OSAIA%20Policy%20Tracker%20v2.pdf>
- <sup>51</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>52</sup> New Enterprise DG/IDA news service: [http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/library/enterprise-europe/issue14/articles/en/enterprise22\\_en.htm](http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/library/enterprise-europe/issue14/articles/en/enterprise22_en.htm)



- 
- <sup>53</sup> European Greens, Draft Normal Resolutions, “Ecology of Information Exchanges,” Jan. 04. <http://www.europeangreens.org/news/draftresolutions/information.doc>  
Resolution: <http://www.europeangreens.org/news/draftresolutions/information.doc>  
European Greens, Proposed Workshops, “Information Ecology.” <http://www.europeangreens.org/news/workshops.html>
- <sup>54</sup> EU Call for Tender, November 3, 2003, 2003/S 49-042112  
[http://ted.publications.eu.int/official/Exec?DataFlow=ShowPage.dfl&Template=TED/N\\_one\\_result\\_detail\\_curr.htm&docnumber=42112%202003&docId=42112-2003&StatLang=EN](http://ted.publications.eu.int/official/Exec?DataFlow=ShowPage.dfl&Template=TED/N_one_result_detail_curr.htm&docnumber=42112%202003&docId=42112-2003&StatLang=EN)
- <sup>55</sup> “EU-Funding for Open Source development,” European Union Open Source Observatory, January 5, 2005,  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3678/493>.
- <sup>56</sup> Sylvia Carr, “EU to fund global research on open source,” CNET News, May 26, 2005,  
[http://news.com.com/EU+to+fund+global+research+on+open+source/2100-7344\\_3-5721867.html](http://news.com.com/EU+to+fund+global+research+on+open+source/2100-7344_3-5721867.html).
- <sup>57</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>58</sup> European Union, “The IDA Open Source Migration Guidelines,” November 8, 2003  
<http://europa.eu.int/ISPO/ida/jsps/index.jsp?fuseAction=showDocument&parent=news&documentID=1647>
- <sup>59</sup> IST Web, “Free / Open source software actions in European programmes,” May 8, 2003. [http://www.cordis.lu/ist/ka4/tesss/impl\\_free.htm](http://www.cordis.lu/ist/ka4/tesss/impl_free.htm)
- <sup>60</sup> “Recommendation on the Openness of the Code and Interfaces of State Information Systems,” Ministry of Finance working paper, October 2003. English version of report available: <http://www.vm.fi/tiedostot/pdf/en/65051.pdf>  
“Finland: Recommendation on the Openness of the Code and Interfaces of State Information Systems now available!” Open Source Observatory, February 2004.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/2184/497>
- <sup>61</sup> LinuxWorld, “Update: Linux Bill Introduced in Finland,” June 18, 2002  
[http://linuxtoday.com/news\\_story.php3?ltsn=2002-06-17-011-26-NW-DP-PB](http://linuxtoday.com/news_story.php3?ltsn=2002-06-17-011-26-NW-DP-PB)  
International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>62</sup> Linux Journal, “Finland Works on an Applied Linux Institute.” Frederick Noronha, September 3, 2003. <http://www.linuxjournal.com/article.php?sid=7110>
- <sup>63</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 116. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)
- <sup>64</sup> David S. Evans and Bernard J. Reddy, “Government Preferences for Promoting Open-Source Software: A Solution in Search of a Problem,” 9 Mich. Telecomm. Tech. L. Rev. 313 (2003), page 375, <http://www.mttl.org/volnine/evans.pdf>
- <sup>65</sup> “The French Ministry of Defence chooses to develop a Linux-based system for optimising security,” European Union Open Source Observatory, September 24, 2004, <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3289/498>.
- <sup>66</sup> “eGovernment in France,” European Union Open Source Observatory, June 2005, <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/servlets/Doc?id=21009>
- <sup>67</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”

---

<sup>68</sup> Linux Today, “EuroLinux Alliance: French Govt. Agency to Enforce Open Standards and Promote Open Source/Free software,” November 21, 2001  
<http://linuxtoday.com/developer/2001112102120PRL>

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 114-9. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)

ATICA - <http://www.atika.pm.gouv.fr/>

<sup>69</sup> Computer World, “French Government to Test Open-Source on the Desktop,” February 11, 2004, Peter Sayer  
<http://www.computerworld.com/softwaretopics/os/story/0,10801,90122,00.html>

ZD Net, “Paris Eyes Open-Source Switch,” February 12, 2004, Christophe Guillemin and Matthew Broersma  
<http://news.zdnet.co.uk/software/developer/0,39020387,39146152,00.htm>

<sup>70</sup> E-government News, “French government wants to cut costs with open source software,” June 21, 2004  
<http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/2642/360>

<sup>71</sup> “France to collaborate with China on Linux,” DesktopLinux.com, October 13, 2004, <http://www.desktoplinux.com/news/NS3169048255.html>

<sup>72</sup> David S. Evans and Bernard J. Reddy, “Government Preferences for Promoting Open-Source Software: A Solution in Search of a Problem,” 9 Mich. Telecomm. Tech. L. Rev. 313 (2003), page 374, <http://www.mtlr.org/volnine/evans.pdf>

Also: In March 2002, Council of Elders decided to use Linux on 150 servers.

See AEI-Brookings Joint Center for Regulatory Studies, “Government Policy Toward Open Source Software,” Robert W. Hahn, editor. 2002 citing: Heise News Online, “Ältestenrat stimmt für Linux auf Bundestags-Servern,” March 2002. <http://www.heise.de/newsticker/data/anw-14.03.02-012/>

<sup>73</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”

<sup>74</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”

<sup>75</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”

<sup>76</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”

<sup>77</sup> CNET News.com, “Linux contract treads on Microsoft turf,” Stephen Shankland, June 03, 2003  
<http://news.com.com/2100-1001-931027.html>

<sup>78</sup> Germany Ministry of the Interior, “Migration Guide: A guide to migrating the basic software components on server and workstation computers,” July 2003  
[http://www.kbst.bund.de/Anlage303807/pdf\\_datei.pdf](http://www.kbst.bund.de/Anlage303807/pdf_datei.pdf)

<sup>79</sup> “Federal Ministry of the Interior publishes migration manual,” July 11, 2003,  
[http://216.239.37.104/translate\\_c?hl=en&u=http://www.pro-linux.de/news/2003/5716.html&prev=/search%3Fq%3DM%25C3%259CNCHEN%2BLinux%26h%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8](http://216.239.37.104/translate_c?hl=en&u=http://www.pro-linux.de/news/2003/5716.html&prev=/search%3Fq%3DM%25C3%259CNCHEN%2BLinux%26h%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8)

---

<sup>80</sup> Alastair Otter, “Ghana pursuing open source policy – Minister”, April 27, 2007.  
<http://tectonic.co.za/view.php?id=1472>

<sup>81</sup> Hong Kong, Information Technology Services Department, “2004 Digital 21 Strategy,”  
[http://www.itsd.gov.hk/itsd/english/pubpress/download/2004\\_D21\\_Strategy\\_Eng.pdf](http://www.itsd.gov.hk/itsd/english/pubpress/download/2004_D21_Strategy_Eng.pdf)

“LCQ17: Adoption of open source software,” A written reply by the Secretary for Commerce, Industry and Technology, Mr Henry Tang, to a question by the Hon Sin Chung-kai in the Legislative Council, June 25, 2003, <http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/general/200306/25/0625143.htm>

<sup>82</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Policy Initiatives”

<sup>83</sup> The Swedish Agency for Public Management, “Free and Open Source Software- a feasibility study,” 2003, page 28  
<http://www.statskontoret.se/pdf/200308A.pdf>

<sup>84</sup> Ingrid Marson, “Free CDs spread open source in India,” May 25, 2005, CNET News,  
[http://netscape.com.com/Free+CDs+spread+open+source+in+India/2100-7344\\_3-5720008.html](http://netscape.com.com/Free+CDs+spread+open+source+in+India/2100-7344_3-5720008.html).

<sup>85</sup> Dinesh C. Sharma, “Indian president calls for open source in defense,” CNET News, July 7, 2004,  
[http://news.com.com/Indian+president+calls+for+open+source+in+defense/2100-7344\\_3-5259836.html?part=rss&tag=5259836&subj=news.7344.5](http://news.com.com/Indian+president+calls+for+open+source+in+defense/2100-7344_3-5259836.html?part=rss&tag=5259836&subj=news.7344.5)

Address at the Dedication Function at International Institute of Information Technology, Dr. Avul Pakir Jainulabdeen Abdul Kalam, “Convergence of Technologies,” May 28, 2003, [http://presidentofindia.nic.in/S/html/speeches/others/may28\\_2003\\_2.htm](http://presidentofindia.nic.in/S/html/speeches/others/may28_2003_2.htm)

<sup>86</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 118. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)

<sup>87</sup> ZDNet UK, “India shares open-source experience,” Matt Loney, September 24, 2004, <http://news.zdnet.co.uk/software/developer/0,39020387,39167741,00.htm>  
National Informatics Centre web page: <http://osf.nic.in/openSourceSite>

<sup>88</sup> CNET Asia, “Sun seeds Indon schools,” Winston Chai, March 13 2003. <http://asia.cnet.com/newstech/systems/0,39001153,39119381,00.htm>

<sup>89</sup> CNET Asia, “Inodnesia Government launches IGOS release 3,” October 27, 2006  
<http://asia.cnet.com/reviews/blog/toekangit/0,39056105,61962859,00.htm>

<sup>90</sup> Stefan Smith, “Security fears spark Linux drive in Iran,” IOL, September 20, 2004,  
[http://www.iol.co.za/index.php?set\\_id=1&click\\_id=115&art\\_id=qw109567728080B265](http://www.iol.co.za/index.php?set_id=1&click_id=115&art_id=qw109567728080B265)

<sup>91</sup> AME Info, “UNFPA and the Government of Ireland Develop Free Open Source Software for Knowledge Sharing...” December 11, 2003.  
<http://www.ameinfo.com/news/Detailed/32183.html>

<sup>92</sup> Arutz Sheva, Israel National News, “Finance Ministry Weaning Israel Off of Microsoft” December 28, 2003  
<http://www.israelnationalnews.com/news.php3?id=55243>  
Information Week, “Israel Suspends Acquisitions Of Microsoft Software,” Dec. 30, 2003  
<http://www.informationweek.com/story/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=17100349>

- 
- <sup>93</sup> Gillian Law, "Israel snubs Microsoft, moves to open-source software," IDG News Service, January 4, 2004, available at Computer World, <http://www.computerworld.com/governmenttopics/government/policy/story/0,10801,88800,00.html>.
- <sup>94</sup> Arutz Shevea, Israel National News, "Israeli Gov't Moves Away From Microsoft," December 17, 2003 <http://www.israelinn.com/news.php3?id=54573>
- <sup>95</sup> "IBM, Israeli ministry to back open source start-ups." Reuters. 25 April 2005. <http://www.itweb.co.za/sections/business/2005/0504250756.asp?S=Reuters&A=REU&O=FRGN>
- <sup>96</sup> "Italian National Plan for Information Society calls for Open Source Software," European Union Open Source Observatory, August 7, 2004, <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3339/502>.
- <sup>97</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, "European OSS Policy Initiatives"
- <sup>98</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, "European OSS Policy Initiatives"
- <sup>99</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, "E-Commerce and Development Report 2003," Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 116. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)  
Bill: Atto Senato 1188, <http://www.senato.it/leg/14/bgt/schede/ddliter/16976.htm>  
*Other Bills found here:* Associazione Software Libero, "Free Software in Public Administration," <http://www.softwarelibero.it/portale/legislazione.shtml>
- <sup>100</sup> NetManager.it, "Linux: ora anche la pubblica amministrazione puo usarlo," February 17, 2004 <http://www.netmanager.it/Site/Tool/Article?id=10371>  
Governo Italiano, "L'Open Source Nella Pubblica Amministrazione," February 17, 2004, [http://www.governo.it/governoinforma/newsletter/nwl\\_notizia.asp?idnn=834&idnwl=148](http://www.governo.it/governoinforma/newsletter/nwl_notizia.asp?idnn=834&idnwl=148)
- <sup>101</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, "European OSS Policy Initiatives"
- <sup>102</sup> Yusof, Khairil. "Italian provinces seek international FOSS partners." International Open Source Network. 21 April 2006. <http://www.iosn.net/government/news/italian-provincinces-seek-FOSS-partners>.
- <sup>103</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, "Open Source Policy Initiatives"
- <sup>104</sup> CNET News.com, "Japan Gives OK to Sun Desktop Suite," Martin LaMonica, November 10, 2004 [http://news.com.com/Japan+gives+OK+to+Sun+desktop+suite/2100-7344\\_3-5446812.html](http://news.com.com/Japan+gives+OK+to+Sun+desktop+suite/2100-7344_3-5446812.html)
- <sup>105</sup> METI policy webpage, accessible as of July 12, 2005, <http://www.meti.go.jp/english/information/data/IT-policy/oss5.htm>  
International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, "Open Source Policy Initiatives"
- <sup>106</sup> Linux in government: [http://www.linux.org/info/linux\\_govt.html](http://www.linux.org/info/linux_govt.html)
- <sup>107</sup> Malaysian Public Sector Open Source Software Initiative, <http://opensource.mampu.gov.my/index.php>  
For procurement specifically see: <http://opensource.mampu.gov.my/index.php?option=content&task=view&id=36&Itemid=54>

- 
- <sup>108</sup> Silicon.com, “Malaysia Sets Up \$36m Open Source Fund,” John Lui, October 30, 2003  
<http://www.silicon.com/management/itpro/0,39024675,39116677,00.htm>
- <sup>109</sup> The Star Online, “Green light for Open Source from PM,” Charles F. Moreira, August 9, 2002  
<http://star-techcentral.com/tech/story.asp?file=/2002/8/9/technology/09oss&sec=technology>  
CNET News.com, “Malaysia gets behind open source.” August 28, 2003  
<http://news.com.com/2100-1012-5069332.html>
- <sup>110</sup> “Malaysia to set up open source reference centre,” The Age, September 1, 2003, <http://www.theage.com.au/articles/2003/09/01/1062383506820.html?oneclick=true>  
OS Competency Centre homepage: <http://opensource.mampu.gov.my/index.php?option=content&task=view&id=12&Itemid=30>
- <sup>111</sup> Malaysian Public Sector Open Source Software Initiative: <http://opensource.mampu.gov.my/>
- <sup>112</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>113</sup> Action Plan: <http://www.minbzk.nl/contents/pages/00018123/Opensourcebrief21-02.pdf>
- <sup>114</sup> NewsForge, “Ten big Dutch cities demand open standards,” Koen Vervloesem, January 16, 2007  
<http://trends.newsforge.com/trends/07/01/10/1921238.shtml?tid=136>
- ICTU, “Program for Open Standards and Open Source Software,” <http://www.ictu.nl/ososs.html>
- <sup>115</sup> “Software Policy for the Future,” The Norwegian Board of Technology, December 2004, [http://www.teknologiradet.no/files/english\\_summary\\_041223\\_copy.pdf](http://www.teknologiradet.no/files/english_summary_041223_copy.pdf).
- <sup>116</sup> “Norwegian Standards Council Recommends Mandatory use of ODF and PDF”, May 13, 2007  
<http://www.consortiuminfo.org/standardsblog/article.php?story=20070513180219689>
- <sup>117</sup> Wired News, “Norway Says No Way to Microsoft,” July 16, 2002  
<http://www.wired.com/news/business/0,1367,53898,00.html>
- <sup>118</sup> Statskonsult, “Open-source software,” August 2001, <http://www.statskonsult.no/publik/rapporter/2001/2001-07eng.pdf>
- <sup>119</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>120</sup> Daily Times (Pakistan), “IT Ministry to train 4,000 govt servants.” April 24, 2004  
[http://www.dailytimes.com.pk/default.asp?page=story\\_24-4-2004\\_pg7\\_24](http://www.dailytimes.com.pk/default.asp?page=story_24-4-2004_pg7_24)
- <sup>121</sup> The Government of Pakistan Establishment of Task Force For Linux, <http://www.tremu.gov.pk/task/Linux.htm>
- <sup>122</sup> “Paraguay Government Studies Open-Source Migration,” Business News Americas, May 20, 2005, available at Linux Insider,  
<http://www.linuxinsider.com/story/lt4IedUB9yyqin/Paraguay-Government-Studies-Open-Source-Migration.xhtml>
- <sup>123</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)  
Legislative proposals – <http://www.gnu.org.pe/proleyap.html>  
December 2001: <http://www.gnu.org.pe/proley1.html>

---

March 2002: <http://www.gnu.org.pe/proley3.html>

April 2002: <http://www.gnu.org.pe/proley4.html>

May 2002: <http://200.37.159.7/paracas/TextoProyectos2001.nsf/todosdocumentos/F3E835A1A479F9A705256BC8005E0298?opendocument>

**Peruvian Legislation Passed Explicitly Technologically Neutral:** <http://apesol.org.pe/news/197>

LEY 28612 NORMA EL USO, ADQUISICIÓN Y ADECUACIÓN DEL SOFTWARE EN LA ADMINISTRACIÓN PÚBLICA

Promulgated October 17, 2005. <http://www.congreso.gob.pe/ntley/Imagenes/Leyes/28612.pdf>

<sup>124</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)

Clarke, Gavin. “Peru’s parliament approves pro-open source bill.” *The Register*. 29 September 2005.

[http://www.theregister.co.uk/2005/09/29/peru\\_goes\\_open\\_source/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2005/09/29/peru_goes_open_source/)

<sup>125</sup> Peruvian National Government, Bill 1609. “Use of Free Software in Government Agencies Law”

<http://www.opensource.org/docs/bill-EngTrans.php>

International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”

<sup>126</sup> Advanced Science and Technology Institute (Philippines), <http://www.asti.dost.gov.ph/index.php>

Bayanihan Linux, <http://bayanihan.asti.dost.gov.ph/>

<sup>127</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Policy Initiatives”

<sup>128</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)

“ ‘Basis standard for an Open Source software introduction and a technical support’ in Polish Government.” IDABC. 22 July 2005.

<http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/4462/5651>

<sup>129</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”

<sup>130</sup> EU IDA, eGovernment News, “Open source bill defeated in Portugal; introduced in Belgium,” October 30, 2003.

<http://europa.eu.int/ISPO/ida/jsps/index.jsp?fuseAction=showDocument&documentID=1718&parent=chapter&preChapterID=null-194>

<sup>131</sup> “Sun Microsystems & Portuguese Ministry of Education sign cooperation protocol” European Union Open Source Observatory, March 2004.

<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/2310/469>

<sup>132</sup> Yun Dae-won, “Local Autonomous Governments To Adopt Linux Operating System,” Korean IT News, February 23, 2004.

[http://english.etnews.co.kr/news/detail\\_top.html?id=200402230006&art\\_grad=9](http://english.etnews.co.kr/news/detail_top.html?id=200402230006&art_grad=9)

<sup>133</sup> “South Korea Pushes Linux in Public Sector,” March 28, 2005. [http://www.cio-today.com/story.xhtml?story\\_id=31871](http://www.cio-today.com/story.xhtml?story_id=31871)

<sup>134</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Policy Initiatives”

<sup>135</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Policy Initiatives”

<sup>136</sup> CNET News.com, “Korea launches a switch to open source,” Seung eun Myung, October 1, 2003

<http://zdnet.com.com/2100-1104-5084811.html>

CSIS, August 2007

- 
- MozillaZine, "South Korean Government Adopting Mozilla and Other Open Source Software," October 29, 2003  
<http://www.mozillazine.org/talkback.html?article=3909>  
"Korea launches a switch to open source." Lupa World. 7 July 2006. [http://en.lupaworld.com/index.php?option=com\\_content&task=view&id=181&Itemid=9](http://en.lupaworld.com/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=181&Itemid=9).
- <sup>137</sup> Mereness, Jeremy. "Open Source in South Korea." TechLearning. 1 October 2006. <http://www.techlearning.com/story/showArticle.php?articleID=193006191>.
- <sup>138</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, "E-Commerce and Development Report 2003," Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 116. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)
- <sup>139</sup> "SK: Chair of Slovak OpenSource Initiative outlines vision," August 28, 2006, Open Source News  
<http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/5780/5914>
- <sup>140</sup> European Union Interchange of Data Between Administrations, "Slovenia: Government Adopts Non-aligned Floss Policy," October 2003.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/1728/509>  
Text of Slovenian policy document available: [http://mid.gov.si/mid/mid.nsf/V/KA3D5DA1595FE3B14C1256DD300396C9A/\\$file/OSS\\_Policy\\_Final\\_2003.10.16\\_en.pdf](http://mid.gov.si/mid/mid.nsf/V/KA3D5DA1595FE3B14C1256DD300396C9A/$file/OSS_Policy_Final_2003.10.16_en.pdf)  
via <http://www2.gov.si/mid/mideng.nsf/f1?OpenFrameSet&Frame=main&Src=/mid/mideng.nsf/0/C954BFD0C7942B4BC1256DC9002C88FD?OpenDocument>
- <sup>141</sup> Open Source Software in Government, South African Government website. <http://www.oss.gov.za/>  
**Government OSS Strategy Document**, <http://www.oss.gov.za/modules.php?op=modload&name=Downloads&file=index&req=getit&lid=6>  
Tectonic, "SA Cabinet approves OSS strategy," June 12, 2003, <http://www.tectonic.co.za/default.php?action=view&id=147>  
Tectonic, "SA minister outlines OSS plans," May 14, 2003, <http://www.tectonic.co.za/default.php?action=view&id=139&topic=Open%20Source>  
Tectonic, "Open source software makes sense to government," March 5, 2003, <http://www.tectonic.co.za/default.php?action=view&id=107>  
Presentation by Dept. of Science and Technology, March 2005, SANGONeT Conference and Exhibition 2005  
<http://sangonet.org.za/conference2005/presentations/Department%20of%20Science%20&%20Technology%20Presentation.ppt>
- <sup>142</sup> John Yarney, "South Africa taps open source to boost local IT," IDG News Source, December 1, 2003.  
<http://www.pcworldmalta.com/news/2003/Dec/011.htm>
- <sup>143</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, "E-Commerce and Development Report 2003," Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 115. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)  
"Policy On Free and Open Source Software Use for South African Government." Department of Public Service and Administration. August 2006.  
[http://www.oss.gov.za/FOSS\\_OC\\_POLICY\\_2006.pdf](http://www.oss.gov.za/FOSS_OC_POLICY_2006.pdf)
- <sup>144</sup> "Criteria for the Security, Standardization, and Conservation of Applications used by the State Administration," June 2003.  
See Section 6 under the Criterios de Normalización  
Text available in Spanish: <http://www.csi.map.es/csi/pg5c10.htm>
- <sup>145</sup> EU Interchange of Data between Administrations (IDA), "Symposium on use of Open Source in EU Public Administrations," February, 2001  
[http://egov.alentejodigital.pt/Page10549/Open\\_Source/603.pdf](http://egov.alentejodigital.pt/Page10549/Open_Source/603.pdf)  
  
"Spanish administrations take a bold stance on open source software," e-Government News, May 26, 2005.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/4327/505>
- <sup>146</sup> Spanish Senate. [www.senado.es/legis7/publicaciones/html/textos/i0259.html#9](http://www.senado.es/legis7/publicaciones/html/textos/i0259.html#9)

- 
- <sup>147</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>148</sup> Spanish Senate. August 21, 2002. [www.senado.es/legis7/publicaciones/pdf/congreso/bocg/b0244-1.pdf](http://www.senado.es/legis7/publicaciones/pdf/congreso/bocg/b0244-1.pdf)
- <sup>149</sup> “Spanish administrations take a bold stance on open source software,” e-Government News, May 26, 2005. <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/4327/505>
- <sup>150</sup> “Government to provide funding for open source software projects.” IDABC. 22 May 2006. <http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/5579/360>.
- <sup>151</sup> “Government to provide funding for open source software projects.” IDABC. 22 May 2006. <http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/5579/360>.
- <sup>152</sup> “Congress urges government to promote free software.” IDABC. 16 January 2007.
- <sup>153</sup> Mr. Eran Wickramaratne, chair of the ICT Agency, from a speech given at the Sri Lanka Open Source Conference, January 23, 2004. Text available at the ICT Agency website: <http://www.icta.lk/Insidepages/News&event/012604whatsnew.asp>.
- <sup>154</sup> Statskontoret, “Free and Open source software – a feasibility study,” August 2003. <http://www.statskontoret.se/pdf/200308eng.pdf>.  
The text can also be found here: <http://www.campussource.de/org/opensource/docs/schwed.studie.pdf>
- In 2005 an association of county councils, municipalities, and private health care providers issued a report on Sweden’s legal framework for OSS. [http://www.carelink.se/files/doc\\_20041228101051.pdf](http://www.carelink.se/files/doc_20041228101051.pdf)
- <sup>155</sup> Programverket home page: <http://www.programverket.org>, in English: <http://www.programverket.org/1027>  
Open Source Observatory, IDABC, EU. “Open Source in the Swedish Public Sector”, November 29, 2005. <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/5147/469>
- <sup>156</sup> “Swiss go sweet on OSS,” Kable’s Government Computing, March 26, 2004, <http://www.kablenet.com/kd.nsf/Frontpage/C4DA5E82978D43E380256E62004EED00?OpenDocument>
- <sup>157</sup> Asia Computer Weekly, “Taiwan to plug open-source software,” Sharon Chuang, October 27, 2003 <http://www.linux.org/news/2003/10/28/0002.html>
- <sup>158</sup> Taipei Times, “Government plans to implement open source code system,” June 4, 2002, <http://www.taipeitimes.com/News/archives/2002/06/04/0000138868>  
Chai, Winston. “Taiwan: Open-source pressure won MS price cut.” CNet Asia. 3 March 2003. <http://news.zdnet.co.uk/itmanagement/0,1000000308,2131322,00.htm>.
- <sup>159</sup> Tan, Aaron. “Taiwan mandates Linux-ready PC’s.” ZDNet Asia. 26 June 2006. <http://www.zdnetasia.com/news/software/0,39044164,39370618,00.htm>.
- <sup>160</sup> Tanzania Development Gateway, “Open Source to Leapfrog the Digital Divide in Tanzania.” [http://www.developmentgateway.org/node/285491/news/item?item\\_id=761336](http://www.developmentgateway.org/node/285491/news/item?item_id=761336)
- <sup>161</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)
- <sup>162</sup> The Nation, “Panel to oversee open-source drafts,” Asina Pornwasin, May 19, 2003 <http://www.nationmultimedia.com/page.arcview.php3?clid=20&id=79202&date=2003-05-19&usrsess=1>



- 
- <sup>163</sup> Jan Krikke, “Microsoft Loses to Linux in Thailand Struggle,” LinuxInsider.com, November 13, 2003.  
<http://www.linuxinsider.com/story/32110.html>
- <sup>164</sup> Eileen Yu, “Finding Middle Ground,” CNETAsia.  
<http://www.zdnetasia.com/insight/specialreports/0,39044853,39230757-2,00.htm>
- <sup>165</sup> Ingrid Marson, “Linux Thais up more support,” ZDNet UK, February 10, 2005, <http://news.zdnet.co.uk/software/linuxunix/0,39020390,39187561,00.htm>.
- <sup>166</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Software Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>167</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Software Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>168</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Software Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>169</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 119. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)
- Duangtip Surintatip, “Policy and Regulatory Update – Thailand,” September 2004,  
[http://www.apectelwg.org/document/download.jsp?fname=Policy%20%5E%5E%5E%20Regulatory%20Update%20-Thailand.pdf&all\\_cd=010101&d\\_seq=2136](http://www.apectelwg.org/document/download.jsp?fname=Policy%20%5E%5E%5E%20Regulatory%20Update%20-Thailand.pdf&all_cd=010101&d_seq=2136)
- <sup>170</sup> “Open Source Software Use within UK Government, Version 2,” e-Government Unit, October 28, 2004. [http://www.govtalk.gov.uk/documents/oss\\_policy\\_version2.pdf](http://www.govtalk.gov.uk/documents/oss_policy_version2.pdf)  
2002 Office of Government Commerce OSS Procurement Guide: [http://www.ogc.gov.uk/sdtoolkit/reference/ogc\\_library/procurement/OSSGuidance.pdf](http://www.ogc.gov.uk/sdtoolkit/reference/ogc_library/procurement/OSSGuidance.pdf)  
Office of Government Commerce, Open Source Software page. <http://www.ogc.gov.uk/index.asp?id=2190>  
OSS Trials Final Report (October 2004): [http://www.ogc.gov.uk/embedded\\_object.asp?docid=1003914](http://www.ogc.gov.uk/embedded_object.asp?docid=1003914)
- <sup>171</sup> Office of Government Commerce, Open Source Software page. <http://www.ogc.gov.uk/index.asp?id=2190>  
Case Study: [http://www.ogc.gov.uk/embedded\\_object.asp?docid=1000435](http://www.ogc.gov.uk/embedded_object.asp?docid=1000435)  
Final Report : [http://www.ogc.gov.uk/embedded\\_object.asp?docid=1002367](http://www.ogc.gov.uk/embedded_object.asp?docid=1002367)  
OSS Policy Document : [http://www.govtalk.gov.uk/documents/oss\\_policy\\_version2.pdf](http://www.govtalk.gov.uk/documents/oss_policy_version2.pdf)
- <sup>172</sup> Computer World, “Nine British government agencies to test open-source software,” Todd R. Weiss, October 9, 2003,  
<http://www.computerworld.com/softwaretopics/os/linux/story/0,10801,85896,00.html>  
OGC News Release, October 9, 2003: [http://www.ogc.gov.uk/application.asp?app=press\\_release.asp&process=full\\_record&id=1000030](http://www.ogc.gov.uk/application.asp?app=press_release.asp&process=full_record&id=1000030)
- <sup>173</sup> Government Open Source Software Trials Final Report, October 2004. <http://www.ogc.gov.uk/index.asp?id=2190>
- <sup>174</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>175</sup> Robert Jaques, “UK government turns to open source,” VNUNET.com, June 20, 2005.  
<http://www.vnunet.com/vnunet/news/2138325/uk-government-turns-open-source>
- <sup>176</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”

- 
- <sup>177</sup> Andrij Zinchenko, “Open source battles Microsoft in Ukraine,” News Forge, June 27, 2005, <http://business.newsforge.com/article.pl?sid=05/06/20/2057245&from=rss>.
- <sup>178</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “European OSS Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>179</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” United Nations, 2003. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003_en.pdf)
- <sup>180</sup> Government Computer News, “Open-source software gets nod from DOD,” Patricia Daukantas, June 16, 2003 [http://www.gcn.com/22\\_15/news/22425-1.html](http://www.gcn.com/22_15/news/22425-1.html)
- <sup>181</sup> Office of Management and Budget, “Software Acquisition,” M-04-16, July 1, 2004. Karen S. Evans and Robert A. Burton <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/memoranda/fy04/m04-16.html>
- <sup>182</sup> David S. Evans and Bernard J. Reddy, “Government Preferences for Promoting Open-Source Software: A Solution in Search of a Problem,” 9 Mich. Telecomm. Tech. L. Rev. 313 (2003), page 377, <http://www.mttl.org/volnine/evans.pdf>  
cites: Linux Today, “Venezuela’s Government Shifts to Open Source Software,” Brian Proffitt, August 30, 2002 <http://linuxtoday.com/developer/2002083001126NWLLPB>
- <sup>183</sup> Gregory Wilpert, “Venezuela’s Public Administration Systems to Use Open Source Software,” Venezuelanalysis.com (posted December 30, 2004). <http://www.venezuelanalysis.com/news.php?newsno=1457>  
European Union Interchange of Data Between Administrations, “Venezuela is Moving Towards Open Source Software,” Europa.eu.int (posted December 25, 2004). <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3677/469>  
Executive decree No. 3.390. Text available in Spanish at <http://www.gobiernoenlinea.gob.ve/docMgr/sharedfiles/Decreto3390.pdf> via [http://www.gobiernoenlinea.gob.ve/legislacion/Sel\\_Legislacion\\_01?id\\_normLeg=12](http://www.gobiernoenlinea.gob.ve/legislacion/Sel_Legislacion_01?id_normLeg=12)
- <sup>184</sup> Cleto A. Sojo, “Venezuela Embraces Linux and Open Source Software, but Faces Challenges,” Venezuelanalysis.com (posted December 8, 2004). <http://www.venezuelanalysis.com/news.php?newsno=1439>
- <sup>185</sup> Kenneth Wong, “Vietnam Adopts Formal FOSS Master Plan,” International Open Source Network, posted at Asian Open Source Center, March 12, 2004. [http://www.asiaosc.org/article\\_195.html](http://www.asiaosc.org/article_195.html)  
Decision by the Prime Minister Approving the Master Plan “Applying and Developing Open Source Software in Vietnam for the 2004-2008 period,” March 2, 2004. <http://www.digital-review.org/aud08d2.htm>  
Digital Review, “Latest Statistics and Open Source Promotion,” Nguyen Trung Quynh, October 2003 <http://www.digital-review.org/bud04h.htm>  
Knight Ridder Newspapers “Open-source software clicks as Vietnam’s piracy solution,” Ben Stocking, December 7, 2003 <http://www.ohio.com/mld/beaconjournal/business/7428930.htm>
- <sup>186</sup> David Legard, “Vietnam to spend \$20M to push open-source software,” IDG News Service. March 10, 2004. [http://www.infoworld.com/article/04/03/10/HNvietnamopensource\\_1.html](http://www.infoworld.com/article/04/03/10/HNvietnamopensource_1.html)
- <sup>187</sup> International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, “Open Source Software Policy Initiatives”
- <sup>188</sup> Proposal for the use of Open Technologies in the Government, “Legislation on the use of Free Software within the public administration in Argentina”

---

<http://proposicion.org.ar/proyecto/leyes/index.html>

Bill 1416-D-02: <http://proposicion.org.ar/proyecto/leyes/1416-D-02/>

Bill 1499-03: <http://proposicion.org.ar/proyecto/leyes/1499-03/>

Bill 2801-04: <http://www.proposicion.org.ar/proyecto/leyes/2801-04/>

<sup>189</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004), Bill 207-D-2002: <http://proposicion.org.ar/proyecto/leyes/207-D-02/>

<sup>190</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)

<sup>191</sup> Legislation for Buenos Aires Province, E 135 02-03, [http://www.senado-ba.gov.ar/Contenidos/Actividad/Expedientes/expedienteDetalle.cfm?COD\\_EXP=55386](http://www.senado-ba.gov.ar/Contenidos/Actividad/Expedientes/expedienteDetalle.cfm?COD_EXP=55386)  
Text of Bill E 135 02-03: [http://proposicion.org.ar/proyecto/leyes/E-135.02-03/texto\\_orig.html](http://proposicion.org.ar/proyecto/leyes/E-135.02-03/texto_orig.html)

<sup>192</sup> “State backs open source with \$50,000,” Liat Etzman. Herald Sun (Melbourne, Australia), CONNECT; Pg. C12. December 3, 2003

<sup>193</sup> Computer World, “Australian territory mandates open source consideration,” Rodney Gedda. December 13, 2003  
<http://www.computerworld.co.nz/news.nsf/UNID/54FB38BD414F3969CC256DF900123CCF?OpenDocument>

A.C.T. Legislation Register, Government Procurement (Principles) Guideline Amendment Act 2003

Homepage: <http://www.legislation.act.gov.au/a/2003-63/default.asp>

Link to Bill: <http://www.legislation.act.gov.au/a/2003-63/current/pdf/2003-63.pdf>

<sup>194</sup> ZDNet Australia, “Sun shines on NSW government desktops,” Andrew Colley, October 28, 2003  
<http://www.zdnet.com.au/newstech/os/story/0,2000048630,20280236,00.htm>

<sup>195</sup> ZD Net, “Democrats target Microsoft,” Simon Hayes, July 22, 2003  
<http://news.com.au/common/printpage/0,6093,6788724,00.html>

<sup>196</sup> NSW Legislative Council, excerpt on Open Source Software, April 6, 2005. <http://www.parliament.nsw.gov.au/prod/parlment/hansart.nsf/V3Key/LC20050406027>

NSW Contract Information & User Guides, Information Technology and Communications

<http://www.supply.dpws.nsw.gov.au/Contract+Information+and+User+Guides/Information+Technology+and+Communications/Information+Technology+and+Communications.htm#2316>

Australian IT, “Linux Advances on NSW.” April 04, 2005. Available at Klikon Solutions: <http://www.klikon.com/Article.aspx?id=41>

LeMay , Renai. “NSW announces open-source software suppliers.” ZDNet. 5 April 2005. <http://www.zdnet.com.au/news/software/soa/NSW-announces-open-source-software-suppliers/0,130061733,139187094,00.htm>.

<sup>197</sup> “Open source software: Perspectives for development,” Dravis P (2003). The Dravis Group and World Bank InfoDev. [www.infodiv.org/symp2003/publications/OpenSourceSoftware.pdf](http://www.infodiv.org/symp2003/publications/OpenSourceSoftware.pdf)

Bill Text: “State Supply (Procurement of Software) Amendment Bill 2003,” BIL148-A.LCA, <http://www.linuxsa.org.au/oss-bill/open-source-bill.pdf>

Bill Status: <http://www.parliament.sa.gov.au/legcouncil/statsums/2002-2003.htm>

<sup>198</sup> Michael Crawford, “Victorian government elects open source for e-democracy platform,” Computer World, June 6, 2005.  
<http://www.computerworld.com.au/index.php/id;1174965887;fp;16;fpid;0>

---

<sup>199</sup> “Minister Opens Open Source Demonstration Centre,” Department of Industry and Resources, August 19, 2004, [http://www.opensource.wa.gov.au/News/2004-Aug/news\\_item.2004-08-21.0824059610](http://www.opensource.wa.gov.au/News/2004-Aug/news_item.2004-08-21.0824059610)

<sup>200</sup> Ingrid Marson, “Linux wins over Austria’s capital,” Silicon.com, January 26, 2005. <http://software.silicon.com/os/0,39024651,39127356,00.htm>

Pro-Linux.de, “Open Source in Wien,” September 10, 2003, <http://www.pro-linux.de/news/2003/5945.html>

<sup>201</sup> Le Groupe PS du Parlement Bruxellois (The Socialist Party of Brussels?), “Adoption de la proposition PS relative aux logiciels libres,” (February 2003) <http://www.groupeps.be/modules.php?op=modload&name=News&file=article&sid=40>

Update: <http://www.groupeps.be/modules.php?op=modload&name=News&file=article&sid=57&mode=thread&order=0&thold=0>

Association Electronique Libre, “Projet Ordonnance Libre,” <http://wiki.ael.be/index.php/ProjetOrdonnanceLibre>

<sup>202</sup> Association Electronique Libre, “Projet Ordonnance Libre,” <http://wiki.ael.be/index.php/ProjetOrdonnanceLibre>

Belgian Senate, Bill 3-216: <http://www.senate.be/www/?MIval=/Dossiers/DossierFiche&LEG=3&NR=216&LANG=fr>

Previous Bill left standing: <http://www.senate.be/www/?MIval=/Dossiers/DossierFiche.html&DID=33558535&LEG=2&NR=1607&LANG=fr>

<sup>203</sup>

**Amparo:** <http://www.bfsf.it/legislazione/brasile-amparo.htm> and <http://portal.softwarelivre.org/news/102>

**Campinas:** [http://www.campinas.sp.gov.br/portal\\_2003\\_sites/dom/pdf/2001/dezembro\\_2001/dom\\_2812.pdf](http://www.campinas.sp.gov.br/portal_2003_sites/dom/pdf/2001/dezembro_2001/dom_2812.pdf)

**Porto Alegre:** <http://www.grulic.org.ar/proposicion/doc/referencias/ley-bonuma.html>

**Recife:** <http://www.bfsf.it/legislazione/brasile-recife.htm>

**Sao Carlos:** <http://info.abril.uol.com.br/aberto/infonews/122001/10122001-5.shl>

**Solonopole:** <http://www.bfsf.it/legislazione/brasile-solonopole.htm>

**Viçosa:** <http://www.bfsf.it/legislazione/brasile-vicosa.htm>

**Ribeirão Pires:** <http://www.conectiva.com.br/cpub/pt/incConectiva/cases/cases2/005,030,69,214,1191.html>

United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 114-5. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)

David S. Evans and Bernard J. Reddy, “Government Preferences for Promoting Open-Source Software: A Solution in Search of a Problem,” 9 Mich. Telecomm. Tech. L. Rev. 313 (2003), page 376, <http://www.mttl.org/volnine/evans.pdf>

Company Correspondence(February 2004)

“Open Source Software Poses Challenges for Public and Legal Policy,” E-Business Law Bulletin, Volume 04; Issue 08. 17 March 2003

<sup>204</sup> David S. Evans and Bernard J. Reddy, “Government Preferences for Promoting Open-Source Software: A Solution in Search of a Problem,” Page 376. 9 Mich. Telecomm. Tech. L. Rev. 313 (2003), <http://www.mttl.org/volnine/evans.pdf>

<sup>205</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 118. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)

<sup>206</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)

- 
- <sup>207</sup> Mato Grosso do Sol State Legislature, n° 001/2003: [http://www.al.ms.gov.br/deputados/view.htm?ma\\_id=1197](http://www.al.ms.gov.br/deputados/view.htm?ma_id=1197)
- <sup>208</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)  
“Parana goes open source,” Kable’s Government Computing, June 30, 2004,  
<http://www.kablenet.com/kd.nsf/Frontpage/126EC32FEABD980780256EC20040DA2A?OpenDocument>
- <sup>209</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)
- <sup>210</sup> LinuxPR, “IBM Brazil Signs Agreement with Sao Paulo State Government to Train Government Officials in Open-Standard Based Software,” November 29, 2004  
<http://www.linuxpr.com/releases/7382.html>
- <sup>211</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)
- <sup>212</sup> United Nations Conference on Trade and Development, “E-Commerce and Development Report 2003,” Chapter 4: Free and open-source software: Implications for ICT policy and development. Pages 118. [http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4\\_en.pdf](http://www.unctad.org/en/docs/ecdr2003ch4_en.pdf)
- <sup>213</sup> LinuxWorld, “Followup On Turku, Finland: City Approves Linux Pilot Program” December 20, 2001  
[http://linxtoday.com/news\\_story.php3?itsn=2001-12-20-004-20-NW-DP](http://linxtoday.com/news_story.php3?itsn=2001-12-20-004-20-NW-DP)  
“Finnish city abandons Linux, Open Office plans.” *The Inquirer*. 26 August 2004.  
<http://www.theinquirer.net/default.aspx?article=18106>.
- <sup>214</sup> “Free software: a common good in Brest,” European Union Open Source Observatory, April 26, 2005,  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/4103/498>.
- <sup>215</sup> Maeva Zebrowski, “Arles (France), in progression towards Open Source,” European Union Open Source Observatory, May 28, 2005,  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/4318>.
- <sup>216</sup> Jennifer L. Schenker, “Paris weighs a shift to open-source camp,” International Herald Tribune, October 12, 2004.  
<http://www.iht.com/articles/2004/10/11/business/linux.php>  
“Paris Migration to Open Source: evolution, not revolution,” European Union Open Source Observatory, October 13, 2004.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3382/335>  
Sayer, Peter. “Paris accelerates move to open source.” IDG News Service. 18 November 2005.  
[http://www.infoworld.com/article/05/11/18/HNparisopensource\\_1.html](http://www.infoworld.com/article/05/11/18/HNparisopensource_1.html).
- <sup>217</sup> Source Biz, Open Source Business “News: Linux am Arbeitsplatz,” post dated: November 18, 2003  
[http://sourcebiz.berlios.de/index.php?SourceBiz\\_Session=02ed6ba99d7eddf5693fe9b06ebe6ef7](http://sourcebiz.berlios.de/index.php?SourceBiz_Session=02ed6ba99d7eddf5693fe9b06ebe6ef7)  
also: [www.bundestux.de/themen/inl/20088.html](http://www.bundestux.de/themen/inl/20088.html)  
Bill, R and Korduan, P. “Internet-GIS development for municipalities and the counties bases on open source software.”  
<http://www.isprs.org/istanbul2004/comm4/papers/330.pdf>.
- <sup>218</sup> Seattle Post-Intelligencer, “German city favors Linux over Microsoft,” November 28, 2002. [http://seattlepi.nwsourc.com/business/97627\\_linux28.shtml](http://seattlepi.nwsourc.com/business/97627_linux28.shtml)  
“Provincial German town drops Microsoft for Linux.” *USA Today*. 24 March 2003.
- <sup>219</sup> “German Region of Frisia migrates to Open Source Software” European Union Open Source Observatory, June 17, 2005,

---

<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/4369/499>.

- <sup>220</sup> CNet News.com, “One City’s Move to Open Source,” Ingrid Marson. December 05, 2005.  
[http://news.com.com/One+citys+move+to+open+source/2100-7344\\_3-5924184.html?tag=st.num](http://news.com.com/One+citys+move+to+open+source/2100-7344_3-5924184.html?tag=st.num)
- <sup>221</sup> CNET News.com, “Munich breaks with Windows for Linux,” Stephen Shankland. May 28, 2003, <http://news.com.com/2100-1016-1010740.html>  
Internet.com, “Big Strides for Civic Linux,” June 17, 2004, Sean Michael Kerner. <http://www.internetnews.com/ent-news/article.php/3369931>  
City of Munich Press Release, 18 June 2004: [http://www.muenchen.de/Rathaus/referate/dir/presse/2004/06/97306/linux\\_beschluss.html](http://www.muenchen.de/Rathaus/referate/dir/presse/2004/06/97306/linux_beschluss.html)  
John Blau, “Munich migrates to Linux despite EU debate,” IDG News Service, September 30, 2004, Available at Computerworld,  
<http://www.computerworld.com/softwaretopics/os/linux/story/0,10801,96288,00.html>
- <sup>222</sup> Skankland, Stephen. “Munich breaks with Windows for Linux.” *CNET*. 28 May 2003.
- <sup>223</sup> PeacefulAction.org, “Goa Govt. Adopts Linux,” Posted June 19, 2002  
Department of Information Technology Circular: <http://peacefulaction.org/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=40&mode=&order=0&thold=0>
- <sup>224</sup> The Hindu, “Kerala’s draft IT policy released”, January 18, 2007  
<http://www.hindu.com/2007/01/18/stories/2007011801800700.htm>
- <sup>225</sup> Tan, Aaron. “India’s Kerala state goes open source.” *CNET*. 29 June 2007. [http://news.com.com/India%27s+Kerala+state+goes+open+source/2100-7344\\_3-6194118.html?tag=fd\\_nbs\\_ent&tag=nl.e703](http://news.com.com/India%27s+Kerala+state+goes+open+source/2100-7344_3-6194118.html?tag=fd_nbs_ent&tag=nl.e703)
- <sup>226</sup> Vaishnavi C. Sekhar, “State govt logs on to cost-cutting drive,” *TheTimes of India*, April 19, 2005, <http://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/articleshow/1081589.cms>
- <sup>227</sup> Seetharaman, Akhila. “Open source software, boon for e-governance.” *The Hindu*. 25 May 2005.  
Tan, Aaron. “India’s Kerala state goes open source.” *CNET*. 29 June 2007. [http://news.com.com/India%27s+Kerala+state+goes+open+source/2100-7344\\_3-6194118.html?tag=fd\\_nbs\\_ent&tag=nl.e703](http://news.com.com/India%27s+Kerala+state+goes+open+source/2100-7344_3-6194118.html?tag=fd_nbs_ent&tag=nl.e703)
- <sup>228</sup> Marzano, Flavia. “Italian and Regional Laws for libre software in government.” 18 November 2004. <http://flosspols.org/conf/docs/presentations/1400Marzano.pdf>.
- <sup>229</sup> Proposal for Lombardy: <http://www.verdiregionelombardia.net/LIvello 2/Livello3/AttivitaIstituzionali/Testi/software libero.pdf>
- <sup>230</sup> David S. Evans and Bernard J. Reddy, “Government Preferences for Promoting Open-Source Software: A Solution in Search of a Problem,”  
9 Mich. Telecomm. Tech. L. Rev. 313 (2003), page 376-7, <http://www.mttl.org/volnine/evans.pdf>  
  
Associazione Software Libero, “Mozione della Provincia di Pescara,” April 2002, [http://www.softwarelibero.it/altri/mozione\\_provinciapescara.shtml](http://www.softwarelibero.it/altri/mozione_provinciapescara.shtml)  
  
Marzano, Flavia. “Italian and Regional Laws for libre software in government.” 18 November 2004.
- <sup>231</sup> La Repubblica, “Il Comune di Roma avanti piano verso Linux,” Alessio Balbi, February 26, 2004  
[http://www.repubblica.it/2004/b/sezioni/scienza\\_e\\_tecnologia/linuxroma/linuxroma/linuxroma.html](http://www.repubblica.it/2004/b/sezioni/scienza_e_tecnologia/linuxroma/linuxroma/linuxroma.html)
- <sup>232</sup> “Rome contest for young Open Source software programmers.” IDABC. 28 February 2007. <http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/6671/526>.

- 
- <sup>233</sup> EU Interchange of Data between Administrations (IDA), “Tuscany on the way of Open Source,” January 2004  
<http://europa.eu.int/ISPO/ida/jsps/index.jsp?fuseAction=showDocument&documentID=2055&parent=chapter&preChapterID=0-452-469-520-545>  
Link to Bill (Proposta di Legge Regionale n. 186): [http://www.softwarelibero.it/altri/proposta\\_regione\\_toscana.shtml](http://www.softwarelibero.it/altri/proposta_regione_toscana.shtml)  
Tuscany: [http://www.softwarelibero.org/news/news0207021\\_01.shtml](http://www.softwarelibero.org/news/news0207021_01.shtml)  
Other Story found at ANSA: <http://www.ansa.it/notiziari/toscana/20040121132632819524.html>
- <sup>234</sup> Associazione Software Libero, “Free Software in Public Administration,” <http://www.softwarelibero.it/portale/legislazione.shtml>  
For Florence: InterLex, “Il Comune di Firenze per il software libero,” July 26, 2001, <http://www.interlex.it/pa/papini.htm>  
Text of Agreement: <http://www.comune.firenze.it/consi/softwarelibero.htm>  
For Torino (Turin): Text of Motion: [http://www.comune.torino.it/ucstampa/2003/article\\_204.htm](http://www.comune.torino.it/ucstampa/2003/article_204.htm)
- <sup>235</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)
- <sup>236</sup> Business Plan for Nagasaki: <http://www.jri.co.jp/english/press/2003/0723.pdf>
- <sup>237</sup> “The state of Terengganu, Malaysia to copy Extremadura model,” Asia Open Source Centre, February 17, 2005. [http://www.asiaosc.org/article\\_289.html](http://www.asiaosc.org/article_289.html)  
“Open Source Software (OSS) Launching Ceremony at Kuala Terengganu District Office.” <http://www.terengganu.gov.my/v5/bi/>
- <sup>238</sup> Europa IDA, “Eindhoven Reduces Expenses with Open Source,” November 30, 2004, <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3531/469>  
Original source: <http://www.automatiseringsgids.nl/news/default.asp?nwsId=29485>
- <sup>239</sup> Computable, “Amsterdam beproeft open source Open Office,” Gijs Hillenius, October 16, 2003  
<http://www.computable.nl/artikels/binlan3/n4303sej.htm>
- <sup>240</sup> Sayer, Peter. “Amsterdam tests open source software.” InfoWorld. 28 December 2006. [http://www.infoworld.com/article/06/12/28/HNAmsterdam\\_1.html](http://www.infoworld.com/article/06/12/28/HNAmsterdam_1.html)
- <sup>241</sup> Michael Nagler “Dutch Municipality of Haren Migrating to Open Source Software” European Union Open Source Observatory, April 18, 2005.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/4107/470>
- <sup>242</sup> “Norway’s second city embraces Linux,” ZDNet UK, June 15, 2004. <http://news.zdnet.co.uk/0,39020330,39157677,00.htm>;  
“Norwegian city undertakes one of the largest Linux migrations in Europe,” European Union Open Source Observatory, November 15, 2004.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3471/510>
- <sup>243</sup> “Linux in Oslo high schools,” European Union Open Source Observatory, November 2003. <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/1810/510>
- <sup>244</sup> BOJA Numero 55 del 21/Mar/03, Capitulo III, Articulo 31, “Software Libre”  
[http://boja.andaluciajunta.es/boja/cgi-bin/frame\\_pagina.cgi?2003-55-12](http://boja.andaluciajunta.es/boja/cgi-bin/frame_pagina.cgi?2003-55-12)  
HISPALinux, “Andalusian Regional Government truly involves with Free Software,” March 24, 2003  
<http://www.hispalinux.es/modules.php?op=modload&name=News&file=article&sid=188&mode=&order=0>
- <sup>245</sup> “Asturian Government to Deploy Open Source in Public Administration,” European Union Open Source Observatory, December 10, 2004  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3638/469>

Europa Press, “El Gobierno asturiano anuncia la implantacion de software libre en los sistemas informaticos de su administracion.” Nov 25, 2004

---

<http://www.noticiasdot.com/publicaciones/2004/1104/2611/noticias261104/noticias261104-20.htm>

<sup>246</sup> “Barcelona City Council IS/IT Strategy 2004-2007 includes Open Source initiatives,” European Union Open Source Observatory, December 10, 2004.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3640/531>

<sup>247</sup> “Barcelona embraces Open Source.” IDABC. 8 July 2005. <http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/4453>

<sup>248</sup> CNET News.com, “Governments push open-source software,” Paul Festa, August 29, 2001  
<http://news.com.com/2100-1001-272299.html>  
Boletín Oficial Del Parlamento De Canarias, V LEGISLATURA NÚM. 166, July 20, 2001  
<http://www.parcn.es/pub/Bop/5L/2001/166/bo166.pdf>

<sup>249</sup> Noticiasdot.com, “Cataluna puede ser el primer paso,” May 02, 2002,  
<http://www.noticiasdot.com/publicaciones/2002/0502/0205/noticias0205/noticias0205-22.htm>  
Bill in Spanish: <http://www.internautas.org/article.php?sid=468&mode=thread&order=0>

<sup>250</sup> “Catalan Ministry of Education announces a call for open source software distribution for schools,” European Union Open Source Observatory,  
October 15, 2004. <http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/3376/505>

<sup>251</sup> Wired News, “Extremadura Measures: Linux,” April 19, 2002  
<http://www.wired.com/news/business/0,1367,51994,00.html>

Edmonton Journal, “Ole! Spanish schools adopt free software and save a bundle: Microsoft-free strategy uses Linux to help region become wired”,  
Peter Hum, 17 May 2004. (found via Factiva)

Washington Post, “Europe’s Microsoft Alternative,” November 03, 2002

EU IDA, “FLOSS deployment in Extremadura, Spain”  
<http://europa.eu.int/ISPO/ida/jsps/index.jsp?fuseAction=showDocument&documentID=1637&parent=chapter&preChapterID=0-452-470>

<sup>252</sup> “Galicia starts Open Source software repository.” IDABC. 24 January 2007. <http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/document/6522>.

<sup>253</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)

<sup>254</sup> “Spanish administrators take a bold stance on Open Source Software,” European Union Open Source Observatory, May 26, 2005.  
<http://europa.eu.int/idabc/en/document/4327/505>

<sup>255</sup> Heise Online, “Swiss Tax Office distributes OpenOffice and Mozilla,” February 14, 2003. <http://www.heise.de/newsticker/data/pmz-14.02.03-000/>

<sup>256</sup> PCTipp, “Stadt Zürich: Umstieg auf Open-Source-Software?” September 04, 2003  
<http://www.pctip.ch/webnews/wn/25076.asp>

<sup>257</sup> IDABC. <http://ec.europa.eu/idabc/en/home>



- 
- <sup>258</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)
- <sup>259</sup> Andy McCue, “Linux-shy public sector gets open source test lab,” Silicon.com, June 20, 2005. <http://software.silicon.com/os/0,39024651,39131293,00.htm>
- <sup>260</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)
- <sup>261</sup> Sherriff, Lucy. “Newham and Microsoft sign 10-yr deal.” *The Register*. 16 August 2004.
- <sup>262</sup> Silicon.com, “UK councils dump Windows for Linux,” Derek Parkinson, June 6, 2003  
<http://news.zdnet.co.uk/business/0,39020645,2135726,00.htm>
- <sup>263</sup> Silicon.com, “Police put Linux on trial,” Graham Hayday, October 16, 2002. <http://news.zdnet.co.uk/software/0,39020381,2123999,00.htm>
- <sup>264</sup> Company Correspondence(February 2004)
- <sup>265</sup> Chris Preimesberger, “Alabama latest state to present open source software bill,” NewsForge, March 2, 2004,  
<http://www.newsforge.com/business/04/02/27/2329240.shtml>  
Open Source and Industry Alliance, “Roundup of Selected OSS Legislative Activity Worldwide,” 2005,  
<http://www.osaia.org/documents/OSAIA%20Policy%20Tracker%20v2.pdf>
- <sup>266</sup> Stephen Shankland, “Oregon angles for open-source businesses,” CNET News.com, January 26, 2005,  
[http://news.com.com/Oregon+angles+for+open+source+businesses/2110-7344\\_3-5551502.html](http://news.com.com/Oregon+angles+for+open+source+businesses/2110-7344_3-5551502.html)
- <sup>267</sup> “2004 California Performance Review,” <http://www.report.cpr.ca.gov/cprprt/issrec/stops/it/so10.htm>  
Open Source and Industry Alliance, “Roundup of Selected OSS Legislative Activity Worldwide,” 2005,  
<http://www.osaia.org/documents/OSAIA%20Policy%20Tracker%20v2.pdf>
- <sup>268</sup> Digital Software Security Act. [http://www.redhat.com/opensource/bill\\_opensource.html](http://www.redhat.com/opensource/bill_opensource.html)
- <sup>269</sup> Hawaii State Legislature: Senate Concurrent Resolution 109  
Bill Text: [http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2003/Bills/SCR109\\_SD1\\_.htm](http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2003/Bills/SCR109_SD1_.htm)  
Bill Status: <http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2003/status/SCR109.asp>
- <sup>270</sup> HB1739, Hawaii State Legislature, 2004 session: <http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2004/status/HB1739.asp>,  
[http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2004/bills/HB1739\\_HD1\\_.htm](http://www.capitol.hawaii.gov/session2004/bills/HB1739_HD1_.htm)
- <sup>271</sup> Massachusetts IT Commission report “Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Enterprise IT Strategy”. February 27, 2003  
<http://www.mass.gov/itcommission/finalreport/finalreport03122003.htm>  
  
State of Massachusetts IT Bulletin, “IT Commission Releases Recommendations.”  
<http://www.state.ma.us/itd/spg/publications/bulletins/winter2002%5F03/page01.html>
- <sup>272</sup> Associated Press, “In open source gain, Bay State locks horns with Microsoft.” Justin Pope, October 19, 2003.  
[http://www.boston.com/business/articles/2003/10/19/in\\_open\\_source\\_gain\\_bay\\_state\\_locks\\_horns\\_with\\_microsoft/](http://www.boston.com/business/articles/2003/10/19/in_open_source_gain_bay_state_locks_horns_with_microsoft/)

---

Memo, "The Capital Budget." Eric Kriss, Secretary, Administration & Finance, September 29, 2003.  
<http://www.mass.gov/eoaf/CapitalBudget.html>

<sup>273</sup> Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Executive Office for Administration and Finance, "Enterprise Information Technology Acquisition Policy," January 13, 2004  
[http://www.mass.gov/Aitd/docs/policies\\_standards/itacquisitionpolicy.pdf](http://www.mass.gov/Aitd/docs/policies_standards/itacquisitionpolicy.pdf)

eWeek, "Mass. Softens Stance on Proprietary Software," Darryl K. Taft, January 14, 2004. <http://www.eweek.com/article2/0,4149,1436253,00.asp>

<sup>274</sup> Enterprise Technical Reference Model - Version 3.5, Effective September 21, 2005.

See specifically the Integration Domain. <http://www.mass.gov/Aitd/>  
<http://www.mass.gov/portal/index.jsp?pageID=itdsubtopic&L=4&L0=Home&L1=Policies%2c+Standards+%26+Legal&L2=Enterprise+Architecture&L3=Enterprise+Technical+Reference+Model++Version+3.5&sid=Aitd>

Montalbano, Elizabeth. "Massachusetts adds Open XML to open formats list." IDG News Service. 2 July 2007.

<sup>275</sup> LaMonica, Martin. "Microsoft document formats gain Mass. Favor." *CNET*. 2 July 2007.

[http://news.com.com/Microsoft+document+formats+gain+Mass.+favor/2100-1013\\_3-6194542.html?tag=nefd.top](http://news.com.com/Microsoft+document+formats+gain+Mass.+favor/2100-1013_3-6194542.html?tag=nefd.top)

<sup>276</sup> Bill A08817 from 2003-2004 Regular Session, New York State Legislature: <http://assembly.state.ny.us/leg/?bn=A08817>

<sup>277</sup> Lai, Eric. "Bill seeks study of open document formats in New York." *Computerworld*. 7 June 2007.

<sup>278</sup> Bill HB 1627, Oklahoma State Legislature: [http://www.lsb.state.ok.us/2003-04HB/HB1627\\_int.rtf](http://www.lsb.state.ok.us/2003-04HB/HB1627_int.rtf)  
Open Source and Industry Alliance, "Roundup of Selected OSS Legislative Activity Worldwide," 2005,  
<http://www.osaia.org/documents/OSAIA%20Policy%20Tracker%20v2.pdf>

<sup>279</sup> Oregon State Legislature. SB 941, "Relating to software acquisitions by state government"

<http://www.leg.state.or.us/03reg/measures/sb0900.dir/sb0941.intro.html>

For Bill Status: <http://www.leg.state.or.us/03reg/pubs/senmh.html>

<sup>280</sup> Todd R. Weiss, "Oregon bill touts open-source option," *Computerworld*, March 11, 2003,

<http://computerworld.com/governmenttopics/government/policy/story/0,10801,79258,00.html>

<sup>281</sup> Government Open Source Collaborative, <http://www.gocc.gov/>

<sup>282</sup> Texas State Senate. SB 1579 - Legislative Session: 78(R) 2003

<http://www.legis.state.tx.us/BillLookup/History.aspx?LegSess=78R&Bill=SB1579>